## Exhibit 54

Page 1 NATIONAL ASSOCIATES OF ATTORNEYS GENERAL 2013 SUMMER MEETING JUNE 18, 2013 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CRIMES ONLINE: DANGEROUS ACCESS TO PRESCRIPTION DRUGS AND PIRATED CONTENT Job No. CS1693191 

Veritext Corporate Services

DOUG: All right. This afternoon's session will address two aspects of international property. I'm not going to make any Mississippi intellectual jokes, nope.

The first will cover I.P. crimes online, and
Mississippi Attorney General Jim Hood, who co-chairs the
NAAG I.P. Committee with Virginia Attorney General Ken
Cuccinelli, will moderate, and General Hood's all worked up
an exercised and ready to go. So here we go.

MR. HOOD: Good afternoon. We are here with a panel today, and I'm going to try to give you an update for General Louie and General Cuccinelli, who are both co-chairs of the Intellectual Property Theft Committee along with me. So I'll be making some initial comments to kind of get up to speed as to what letters have occurred and what all the committee has tried to do in this area.

We also have a panel who will give comments. Tom Galvin is executive director of the Digital Citizens

Alliance. Chris Castle is managing partner of Christian L.

Castle Attorneys, and Benjamin Edelman is an associate professor at Harvard Business School.

So I have some initial comments to try to boil this down in as fast as possible. I've been co-chair of the Intellectual Property Theft Committee for, I think, four years, so it's taken me four years.

I am a little bit slow, Doug, so, you know, and I

1.8

admit it.

DOUG: Uh-huh.

MR. HOOD: To kind of get a handle on some of the issues that we're dealing with with, you know, Internet issues with intellectual property theft -- and so, it's taken quite a bit of time to try to work through these issues and make sure that we actually understand what some of the search engines are doing, and I've been a prosecutor my entire career.

I was assistant A.G. five years, a D.A. for eight, and also, as being A.G., I'm in my tenth year. So basically, the way I've done practice is before juries, and it's just been straight, right to the point, and I'll try to run through the background information as fast as possible.

But we have kind of a special guest here today.

We have Emma Kelly, who is Chris Kelly's daughter. Martha

Coakley's head of the Digital Evidence Lab in the Maryland

-- excuse me -- in the Massachusetts attorney general's

office.

She's 14 years old. She took off school today, but she's going to be doing some work online live to show you how easy it is for a 14-year-old to download movies that are in the theaters or buy drugs online or things of that nature. So we will have some parts of this that will be live. I will be presenting some slides of some drug buys

that my investigators have made from Google advertisers. So hopefully, we will be able to make the electronics all work and get through this.

What we're looking at is a huge issue for attorneys general, and I've equated it sort of as the battle that my predecessors had when I was assistant A.G. with tobacco. This is an issue that affects so many businesses and our individual and public safety issues, and it will take a lot of work from us.

You know, this is a very complex area that we have to deal with, and you have to understand a lot of these terms of art. There are a lot of them, just like in law, terms of art.

You know, they use things like auto complete. You know? Some of you know exactly what I'm talking about. Some of you don't understand what auto complete is, but I'll try my best to not bore those who know the answers, yet, at the same time, help educate those who may not understand exactly what all has occurred.

And, when I'm talking about search engines, you know, Google's got 84 percent of the mobile market, about 79
-- I believe it's about 70 percent of the search market.
So, you know, they're the biggest search engine out there, and they're the ones that we have dealt with.

This sort of began when they entered into a non-

prosecution agreement and paid a \$500 million fine to the federal government in August of 2012 for allowing out-of-country sales of pharmaceutical drugs without prescriptions. They entered into a non-prosecution agreement there again in August of 2012.

We had a meeting in November where we had the Intellectual Property Theft Committee convene all the rights holders, you know, the payment processors. That's Visa and the ones who process the money. Had many at the table, and we invited Google to be there, and, when we started asking them questions such as -- and we pointedly asked them, you know, why do you have an auto complete feature, and auto complete is -- can you all pull that slide up to show them what auto complete is?

Okay. I'm handing over this thing.

(Laughter)

When you type in prescription drugs on, then you get the drop-down feature, and you'll see the red line that shows you with no prescription. That's auto complete, and so, this is an issue we raised with Google.

You know, why would you suggest to someone to buy drugs online without a prescription when it may be somebody innocent that's trying to come in and, you know, actually purchase prescription drugs online with a prescription, but it made that suggestion, and what they -- the people they

sent down to the committee -- and several of you were there.

I appreciate your attendance, but they just didn't answer
the question. So we wrote them a letter.

The Intellectual Property Theft Committee cochairs wrote them a letter February of this year, 2013, and
we asked some pointed questions, not only of Google, but the
other search engines and all the other rights holders as to
what we could do, and we didn't get an answer from Google,
and so, April 1st I wrote a letter, and it pointed out this
same issue, you know, buying prescription drugs.

You just could punch in instead of Oxycodone, the whole word, you just punch in oxy. I pointed out in this letter hey, you're getting auto complete that says buy it without prescription online. You know, it's suggesting to people to go to that site.

Well, finally we got a response to our committee letter, and that was on April 19th, 2013, and they didn't answer the question. You know, I think in some of the materials, we have a letter -- maybe you see in Exhibit 1 a letter from Google, and, on page 4, you know, where they're actually supposed to respond about the auto complete issue of without -- purchasing drugs without a prescription, they just say oh, well, it wouldn't be appropriate for us to block the word prescription, something that broad or online.

Well, the fact is I'm not worried about the word

2.3

prescription or drugs or any of that nature. It's the phrase that they're using, and, when they make this suggestion, I think they're stepping over the line out of section 230 protections that Doug's excellent panel this morning discussed, and so, I wrote them a letter back, which was sort of in response to theirs, but May 17th I sent you a letter. I don't know if you got it, but I said hey, you know, this issue is escalating. Here are the issues that we're having to deal with, and I sent Google another letter, and then, on May 20th, they responded to my April 1st letter, and their response was go to the payment processors. Make them cut the money flow off. We're not going to do anything to stop suggesting on auto complete features such as, you know, purchase drugs without a prescription, and it was another letter in which they just didn't answer any questions, and they keep giving these statistics.

"We take down more than any other search engine out there." Well, they've got -- there again, they've got 70 percent of the market. You know, Google -- I mean, Microsoft owns Bing, which has about 17 percent of the market. So, you know, they should take down more from notices of copyright violations.

So, after reading the letter that they responded to me, I wrote a letter May 21st to the CEO of Google and asked him and his chief counsel to appear before us and be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

1.1

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

on this panel. Instead of responding to me, what they did was they called Admiral McPherson and said we're not coming, and then, the next thing I received was a letter from a lawyer where, you know, in the old criminal courts where I roam, you know, they say they lawyered up, basically, and they said -- lawyers said you communicate with us. You know, they threatened litigation and things of that nature, because I told them in my May 21st letter if you don't, you know, come and be a part of the panel and be part of the discussion and work with us to make some of these changes that we've been suggesting since November, then I'm going to call on my colleagues to issue civil investigative demands or subpoenas to get some of these documents that we think will show that they have, in fact, manipulated their algorithm to allow for these searches of some of these pirating sites to pop up.

So I sent them a litigation hold letter June 10th asking them anything that they took down to preserve it, emails and so forth. Now, what's happened since these series of letters have occurred -- then they began to take down information.

They began -- in fact, now -- do you have that second slide where they -- if you get online -- any of you got laptops, you're welcome to join in with this. If you go online and punch in oxy, O-X-Y, codone, now it doesn't have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the auto complete that says without a prescription. They've made these changes. They won't admit to them, I don't think. I hadn't heard any, you know, admission by them that they've made these changes, but they began to make these changes.

And then, we noticed that about two-thirds of the videos that showed you how to purchase prescription drugs online without a prescription have been taken down off YouTube. I mean, they literally had -- and we have -- I'll show you some of the slides in a little while. You know, all we have captured is just the still page of a guy who tells you in a video on YouTube how to go online and purchase prescription drugs without a prescription.

YouTube, by the way, is owned by Google. So that was part of their advertising platform. You see that video. They've got ads over in the margins of where they're making money off of every -- when people, you know, view these videos. So they have a tremendous flow of income as a result of their actions.

The last series of letters that I'll mention is, on yesterday, Google advised that they had a third-party group, who was actually monitoring all the pharmaceutical companies' ads on their network, and so, I issued a litigation hold letter for that third-party group yesterday as well. So anyway, we've got somewhat of a feud started, I

suppose, but, you know, I don't want to have a fight with this group. I want to see them work with us, and, you know, the other companies have done that.

I went on online. I just was checking with Yahoo, which is powered by Bing, Friday. Called them and said look, you all have got this auto complete feature.

Today, if you go online, you're not going to see this auto complete of buy prescriptions without -- buy drugs without a prescription, in other words. They took it down within three days.

We've been after Google since November. Google wouldn't even appear to respond with us. They sent one of the professors from Santa Clara. I think he is a consultant for Google that spoke this morning on section 230. He conveyed the message of what Google's saying.

They're saying, under section 230, come try us out. We're going to kick out any of your criminal prosecutions. We're going to try to remove you to federal court. We're going to use this -- what was initially intended to be a shield -- we're going to use it as a sword, and that is the position that Google has taken, and I hope we don't get to the point of litigation to find that out, but, you know, I think we have to push this issue further, and I suggest, toward the end, some ways in which I think that we can make that happen.

Take, for example, AOL. Will Castleberry -- you know, he's now with FaceBook, but AOL was always straight up with us when Intellectual Property Theft Committee was discussing with the Internet service providers about filtering out child pornography. AOL was sitting on our side, you know, speaking to the others saying we're doing it. We're filtering it out on our system.

AOL -- if you went on two weeks ago, as far as I know, two months ago, they don't allow those auto completes to drop down and try to advise you and steer you to buying prescription drugs without a prescription. So, you know, it can be done.

You know, Google will say wait a minute. We got an algorithm. Our algorithm -- we don't touch it. You know? It's only driven by the number of queries that people pose online, and therefore, there's more people out there asking queries about buy prescription drugs online without a prescription, and so, that is obviously not true, because now, they have taken all this content down.

Used to you could punch in child, and it would auto complete to child porn. They blocked that months ago, but now they're blocking prescription drugs, but, when you go on and ask a query about download, you punch in download, auto complete goes download music for free, download movies for free. You know?

All this content -- it directs you to go to these sites, and, when you look at the search result, if you go down and drop down and click on that for free, one of the first ones you're going to see -- in fact, the Recording Industry of America yesterday did a little survey of the top songs. They ran 50 searches. Of that 50, 40 came up with sites that were all rogue sites that Google was well-aware of through these notices that are sent by RIAA.

In fact, the number one -- I think it was 78 percent of the time. The number one search result was called MP3 Skull. They have received -- they -- Google has received probably over 500,000 at this point -- notices from the recording industry that MP3 is a rogue site that does nothing else but sell pirated music, and they haven't taken it down. They haven't delisted it. That's another one of the terms that are used.

You got delisting and demoting. Delisting -taking them off or at least push them down. Push them down
to last page. I mean, the legitimate sites like iTunes and
others -- they can't get to the top, because you got all
these bogus sites that are in the search results, and
they've got all the -- they -- Google has all of these
notices, and they're well-aware of these sites. Yet they
refuse to take them down. They will not work with other
industries.

You know, we A.G.s have convened the rights holders and the banks and others, and the banks have done great. They've responded. They've cut the flow to a lot of these rogue sites, but, you know, you've still got the search engine group is the one that we still have to deal with and the domain issuers, the one who issued the domains that they keep getting new names and keep populating back up, but right now, I'm talking about just, you know, the search engine aspects of it.

Moving on to the non-prosecution group, where they paid \$500 million fine now, a fine, for selling prescription drugs without a prescription, primarily from Canadian pharmacies. In that agreement, they agreed to stop selling ads to pharmacies outside the United States, and that's it. They didn't agree to, you know, stop the search results.

There's two different things. You got the auto complete, and then, you've got the search results that are under it. They didn't agree to stop that part.

If you go on there right now, you're going to -and Google -- buy prescription drugs or something, you're
going to see a lot of these sites. It'll still -- your
search results will show, you know, without a prescription.
So you're going to still be able to see all these sites.

Now, whether or not you can buy from it is a different question. Some of our buys bought Oxycontin

recently, and they never ran our credit card through. They oftentimes try to send you an email response that will infect your computers in which they can use to do other scams.

They may try to get your credit card number. You know? They may try to steal your identity. So it's all -- you know, even if you can't buy the prescription, a lot of it is a scam if you go on there and click on some of these.

But, in the non-prosecution agreement, just some examples of where they actually -- what they actually wrote, signed onto with the federal government, the United States attorney's office in the state of Rhode Island, and Peter Kilmartin has profited well, because the drug sale occurred in the state of Rhode Island, and he was a part of that forfeiture. They call it a forfeiture.

But what Google did was they had another one of these third-party monitoring groups, and this third-party group was supposed to be monitoring the ads for words that would indicate that it is a pharmacy in one of their ads that was not on U.S. shores. Okay? So that's all they're looking for. They're only screening their ads, but, you know, they weren't screening these words that they are selling.

They have words that they can sell, and this system that they have is called ad words. They sell -- you

know, they'll sell you a word which will lead you to a site, the purchaser's site. Many of them were, you know, counterfeit Web sites.

Well, they were -- on one hand, Google says well, okay, we're screening over here any ads that we publish, but their emails -- and the emails in this discovery are just devastating. I think what we will see, if we issue civil investigative demands, very much similar type email communications, but these emails that are spelled out in this prosecution agreement, non-prosecution agreement, which is in your materials, and I encourage you to read the thing, because it just goes through one after the other of things that they did to try to get around the law, but they were selling these ad words over here, and the emails indicated that they clearly knew it, and they knew that Canadian pharmacies and all these other pharmacies were purchasing these words.

They're called key words. They were buying them so it would lead to a priority of those rogue sites, but, at the same time, those pharmacies offshore were smart enough not to put any words in their advertising that that screening system would catch that would indicate that it was an offshore pharmacy. So that's a very complicated scheme, but they're a smart company, and so, the feds caught them and made them, you know, agree to stop that.

Я

There is a section in that non-prosecution agreement that exempts state prosecutions, and so, this did not bind the states. I want you to know that -- I'm going to show some of these slides in just a minute, and I only have a little time to show a lot of slides -- that I'm going to notify the Department of Justice that I think these buys that we made online from Google advertisers -- now, that's important.

We bought it from people who were advertising on Google. That violates this federal non-prosecution agreement, and I'm going to encourage the U.S. attorney, who did a great job in Rhode Island and the Department of Justice, to look into this. We're going to send them the evidence of our buys. I'm going to show you some lab reports that we have here on slides.

So now, if we can roll through some of the slides quickly. I'll try to point out, as we go -- and I have to go very quickly, because we got some great panelists.

We made an Oxycodone purchase. This one they never delivered. The others that they did. This is the auto complete. You punch in Oxycodone online. Auto complete goes to buy without a prescription. The first two search results are Web sites that talk about no prescription.

Go ahead.

Page 17 There's the buy. See the click down at the 1 bottom, right? And that's the auto complete. It suggested 2 3 that you use this term. These sites that are shown in the red box, the 4 second red box, are those which have been reported to Google 5 as having -- being a rogue site. 6 Go to the next one. 7 And there's -- oh, you went back to the buy. 8 Okay? 9 Oh, yeah, here's a Viagra purchase. You know? 10 You know it's a prescription, but we made this purchase 11 online of Viagra, a prescription drug. Viagra was -- and 12 there's the lab reports that it is, in fact, Viagra or the 13 1.4 chemical that's in Viagra. And is that a counterfeit? Does it say Viagra? 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It says Viagra. 16 MR. HOOD: All right. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's (indiscernible) 18 Viagra. 19 MR. HOOD: All right. 20 So it may be counterfeit. You know? We don't 21 That's the problem with so much of this stuff is it 22 can be counterfeit. 23 Now, let me tell you another scheme that Google 24 They pulled this on the federal government in that pulled. 25

non-prosecution agreement. They say we're going to take down Google Health, and it was a site that had all these -- this is back when they originally signed the non-prosecution agreement in August of 2011.

I said 2012 a while ago. It was 2011 when they signed this non-prosecution agreement, but they agreed to take down Google Health. Okay. Well, they took it down, but then, if you punched in Google query about buy Tramadol or buy Oxycodone, it would do the dropdown without a prescription.

You click on it, and one of the first results that came up was an archive. An archive is a site that captures all the things on the Internet, and then, it lists it. And guess what? In that archive, the first one that pops up there is Google Health. So you click on that, and then, you go back into the live Google Health site that was supposed to have been removed.

This archive Web site -- there's, like, three easy steps that you can take to take your old archive stuff off, but Google didn't do it. But guess what? Last week, it came down. So that's another thing that they had a back door for you to make buys through Google Health, and, you know, they thought it didn't violate the federal government's non-prosecution agreement. So archives is just another little trick, and this is another purchase.

Go ahead. What was that one?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That was an archive Google Health.

MR. HOOD: Okay. That was an archive Google Health buy.

This is bath salts. You know the battles we've had with the bath salts. We made a buy. If you'll flip through those slides.

This Google salts was an advertiser of Google.

So, I mean, it's like they're making money off of this sale.

You know, just imagine if one of the TV stations ran an ad about, you know, here, young person, 14-year-old, you can go online and buy drugs without a prescription, or imagine if the Boston Globe ran an ad about telling a kid how to go buy drugs or steal somebody's CD, those kind of things. We would be all over them.

Yet kids can sit in their own house and make these kind of buys just like my investigators did. There is a different rule for publications and television, because these online groups -- they have that section 230 protection, they think, that will protect them from this kind of action.

Have you all been able, back there in the back, to make any purchases or watch any videos online? Can you switch what you may have seen?

. 20

All right. So they're punching in. Watch
Hangover 3. Now, that's in the theaters right now.

Everybody knows that that's not supposed to be online.

Google knows that's not supposed to be online. They ought
to have a list of what's in the theaters and at least block
that, and she's going to -- we don't want to watch much
illegal content, but that's the real deal. That's the
movie.

I mean, we have videos of one of my investigators
-- 14-year-old watching Django Unchained, and the unchained
version is extremely violent, and she watched it while it
was in the theaters, and so, it's so easy for a young person
to go online and watch a movie that we raise cane with the
motion picture industry about keeping them out of the
theaters. You know, we have all the R and all these
different things.

Well, they can watch it on -- I mean, it's that easy. They're sitting back there doing that live. So, you know, most of us don't sit around and think about trying to put these things, these queries in a computer, but our kids do, and that's the ones that, you know, these -- that's who these dangers are hurting.

So are you all going to do another one?

That's an online example of buy prescription drugs. Is that without prescription.

```
Page 21
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, sir.
 1
               MR. HOOD: All right. So they're online right
 2
           Buy prescription drugs without a prescription. That's
3
 4
     how easy this is.
               If we can go back to the slides. I think I need
5
6
     to move through and let my panelists go forward.
               Where were we on -- what buy were we on?
 7
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We were (indiscernible)
 8
     spice.
9
               MR. HOOD: Okay.
10
11
               We made a spice buy.
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's essentially the same
12
     as the --
13
               MR. HOOD: Online?
14
             It was from a Google advertiser, right?
1.5
16
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct.
               MR. HOOD: And see the Google ad, the part in the
17
     tan there? They've also got ads up on the right, the right
18
     side of the column, but that was a purchase my investigators
19
     made of spice, and these purchases were made June of last
20
     year. So it was June of 2012.
21
               We made a buy. Got it delivered. There's the
22
23
     test of it.
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible.)
24
               MR. HOOD: YouTube prescription drug? No.
25
```

Page 22 Tom is going to go through the YouTube part. 1 We'll skip through that, because I am running over my time. 2 Just skip on to the next. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: On through --4 MR. HOOD: Yeah. Oh, wait. Back up. Back up. 5 Back up to where -- this guy right here -- he was telling 6 That was a video that was online that they took 7 down off of YouTube. I mean, it was telling you how to buy 8 prescription drugs without a prescription. 9 I mean, think about if TV sold an ad to somebody 10 to get on TV about buying prescription drugs without a 11 prescription. We'd have a riot. We A.G.s would be all over 12 You know? But there again, because of this section 230 13 sword that the online search engines mainly are using, 14 they're trying to use it as a sword. I say that there are 15 16 ways for us to try to address this issue. You can go on and flip through some more of them. 17 Go on down to -- yeah, that was the Django Unchained. 18 (Indiscernible?) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 19 MR. HOOD: No, we need to skip through that. 20 We're going to give you all copies of this slide, 21 if any of you would like it, but the Google transparency 22 report -- that's something that they produce. They say, you 23 know, hey, we're open and transparent. You go to that, and 24 it tells how many notices they've had of, you know, this 2.5

site that was showing Django Unchained or MP3 Skull or whatever, but they've got all these notices, but they don't take any action on it.

They don't delist them, and so, you know, it's my position that I think that there are several legal issues that we can address that will force them to come to the table in earnest and make these changes and admit what they've done, perhaps enter into MOU with us like the Internet service providers did so that they will actually, you know, use some best practices to try to block out the auto complete, but also some of the search results that are coming to the top ahead of, you know, legitimate sites like iTunes, where you can actually go on and purchase.

At some point, there's got to be some happy medium. As one of the panelists said this morning, they don't think Congress is going to make this change. I don't, either. You know? I just don't see the appetite for us making the 230 change, and, as Marty mentioned to you this morning, we're all -- I assume all of us are going to sign on and say, you know, Congress, exempt states, and let us have a criminal prosecution without them being able to raise some type of section 230 defense.

I think that our civil investigative demands, under state law, if issued to Google -- they will probably try to remove us to federal court, which they really can't.

They'll try to raise that defense in state court, but hopefully, once we get that information, I suspect we start seeing emails and that type of information and we actually get into their algorithm. The way they've been successful in defending these cases before is to say wait a minute, our algorithm is trade secrets. You know, nobody can see behind the curtain, not see our wizard. You know?

I think what we will find, if we maybe get a third party independent -- a truly independent group -- to look at that algorithm, we're going to see where they've manipulated it and that they've profited from it and that we'll have a duty at that point to go forth and disgorge them of their ill-gotten gains.

Now, what's the remedy? And I'm going to sit down in conclusion. My remedy, what I suggest to you, as cochair of the Intellectual Property Theft Committee -- and my colleagues, co-chairs of that committee -- I'm not speaking for them. I'm just saying what I suggest that we do, and one is that we issue civil investigative demands, and I'm going to issue one as soon as this meeting is over with to Google. I've already given them litigation hold letters, and I suggest to you that I would hope that you would issue litigation hold letters as well.

Particularly California, Kamala, because they're located there primarily.

The second thing, you know, is I would encourage their conscientious investors to encourage Google to stop this illegal and inappropriate activity. Mississippi, for example, our public employees retirement system is pretty big, because we're all under one roof. We've got \$90 million worth of stock of Google, and I don't think the state of Mississippi or the state of Nevada or some of your other state employee retirement systems want to have stock in a company that's promoting illegal activity and will not work with the state. So I hope there are other institutional investors that'll step forward and advertisers, as were mentioned.

I hope advertisers, legitimate advertisers -- now, there's a lot of, you know, these other advertisers they have online. I hope advertisers will step up and encourage Google, and, if they don't take some action, you know, pull their ads with them.

And lastly, but most importantly, is the media, and I think some are maybe here. The media -- to ask questions of Google. Because, when I sent that letter inviting Larry Page to come here to be on this panel, the media started -- I had a press conference. I asked the media to go ask him, and, when the media began to ask questions, we started seeing these sites come down. So it may take, you know, a lengthy process, but I think at some

point maybe we attorneys general can get some MOU signed with Google and then, Bing and all the rest of the search engines and try to get all the parties together and make a difference in protecting our children.

I'm going to turn it over at this time to our panelists.

Tom Galvin -- there again, Tom's executive director of the Digital Citizens Alliance.

Thank you.

MR. GALVIN: Thank you, General Hood, and thank you to the National Association of Attorneys General for the invitation to be here to -- there you go -- to discuss Internet safety. I also know the A.G.s have made it a priority to look at Web sites that could potentially pose a danger to consumers in the past, especially children.

So just a little bit about Digital Citizens before we talk about our subject today. We're a consumer-focused group made up of individuals, associations, and companies trying to raise awareness about the dangers that can be posed online and how we make the Internet a safer place.

Among the work we've done in the last year include exposing how the cyber mafia operates, raising awareness about various scams. For example, we did one after the Newtown tragedy that showed how scammers were taking Americans' money that they thought was going to go towards

Page 27 the victims. We've done a lot of work on I.D. theft, especially trying to point out how criminals exploit your I.D., and finally and what we'll talk mostly about here today, the potential dangers from drugs bought online. Our investigation, our work, didn't start with It actually started looking broadly at teens and YouTube. drugs and trying to raise awareness about the ease by which drugs can be purchased from roque online pharmacies. We're going to show a short video that shows a 15year-old who went online through search, found rogue pharmacies, and then, he interacted by phone with some of those pharmacies, and we filmed it, and they didn't care whether he had a prescription, and they didn't care what his So I'm going to ask if we can roll that video now. (Begin Video) PHARMACY EMPLOYEE 1: This is the pharmacy. How can I help you? CUSTOMER: Hi. I'd like to order some Percocet, please. NARRATOR: Think it's hard to order painkillers? Watch what happens when a 15-year-old boy orders the powerful pain killer, Percocet, without a prescription. PHARMACY EMPLOYEE 1: Okay. Which one are you on? 7.5 milligram; is that right?

PHARMACY EMPLOYEE 1: Yes. Do you have a

CUSTOMER:

1,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 28 prescription? 1 CUSTOMER: No, I don't have a prescription. 2 PHARMACY EMPLOYEE 1: No problem. You can get 3 that. You don't have a prescription, we can write them. No 4 problem. 5 NARRATOR: What can Percocet do to a 15-year-old? 6 PHYSICIAN: There are a number of bad things that 7 can happen to a kid that age, and somebody that age is not 8 going to be well-equipped to deal with it. 9 NARRATOR: The teen is working with the Internet 10 safety watchdog group, the Digital Citizens Alliance, 11 demonstrating how easy it is to order drugs from rogue 12 online pharmacies. Watch what happens when the same 15-13 year-old initiates an order of the painkiller, Hydrocodone, 14 from another online pharmacy. He doesn't have a 15 prescription. He even shares his age, but it doesn't change 16 the outcome. 17 PHARMACY EMPLOYEE 2: What kind of payment are you 18 qoing to use? 19 CUSTOMER: I'm 15, so I'm using my dad's credit 20 card. 21 PHARMACY EMPLOYEE 2: So you're going to use your 22 credit card? So what type of credit card is that? 23 CUSTOMER: That is a Visa. 24 PHARMACY EMPLOYEE 2: Visa? Okay. 25

1 NARRATOR: Now, you --

(End Video)

MR. GALVIN: Okay. So it's important to note that the teen stopped short of purchase for legal reasons, but we did have an adult purchase drugs, Percocet, Tramadol, and Hydrocodone, and, when we tested them, only two of those turned out to be real drugs, and, when citizens go online to purchase drugs without seeing a doctor or going through a legitimate pharmacy, they're essentially bypassing all of the safeguards that exist for your protection, and one of the things we wanted to point out was, not only for adults, but for teens, the dangers that exist there.

But, as we looked further at the issue of teens and drugs, we came to realize that rogue pharmacies had moved from Google, after the \$500 million settlement that General Hood mentioned earlier, to a very popular Web site for teens and preteens, YouTube, and that migration to YouTube is disturbing, because it's not only, like I said, the third most visited site, but it's obviously a very popular site that if -- I have children and young children, they go on that site. I'm sure many of you do as well.

And, as we looked into this, here are some of the things we found, and we have some materials in front of you if you want to look at them. They should be there.

We found hundreds of videos promoting the purchase

of drugs such as Oxycontin, Percocet, Tramadol without a prescription. We found videos promoting the purchase of cocaine, marijuana, and even GHB, which is a so-called date rape drug. These videos were allowed to remain on YouTube for months, if not over a year, and most alarming is Google was profiting from these videos by running ads with them. General Hood showed us some examples. We'll talk a minute about that.

So how does Google profit? When someone wants to put a video on YouTube -- they're a video producer, and they put that video on YouTube. Google asks whether they want to monetize that video, and, when they say yes, which many people do, at that point, Google will begin running ads, and then, they'll split the ad revenue with the video producer, in this case, rogue pharmacies.

So, from that standpoint, it's distressing, because it effectively makes Google partners with bad actors who are promoting drugs or other dangerous activities online, and it turned out, while we started this investigation among teens and drugs, we began to look at it more broadly because of the volume of teens and preteens who go on YouTube and the things that were available to them.

So, as we looked, we realized it wasn't just drugs that had infested YouTube.

We found videos promoting things like prostitution

21.

and escorts, fake passports and I.D.s, and content theft, and, as we said, in each instance, Google not only allowed these videos to run on YouTube, but ran ads that allowed them to profit. I'm just going to show you a couple examples, and I think one of my colleagues is going to show some examples as well.

Do it.

So I'm going to stand up for this. So this is a screen shot of buy Percocet online. You can see the -- thank you. I'll do it this way.

So you can see the screen shot of buy Percocet online. That was the thing. It goes to an online rogue pharmacy, and to the right is a Google ad for chronic pain. So Google's analytics knew enough to know that the video dealt with drugs, Percocet. So they ran an ad, because oftentimes, they'll try to run an ad that's relevant to it.

This video went online February 2012 and didn't come down 'til last -- ten days ago when General Hood had his press conference. It was among the several thousand videos that came off of YouTube in the following days.

The next one is a video that actually offered a lot of different types of drugs. What they -- what the video producers will do is they will include the Web site they want you to go to, because, in the end, these are just promoting Web sites and ways to do it.

So, in this case, it was offering quality marijuana, and it had an ad to the right, which was for, again, pain relief as they tried to make the connection between different types of drugs. This one had been on since March of 2013. So this was a relatively new ad, but had been on for a few months, and it just wasn't, unfortunately, drugs that were on there.

Here was the search find underage prostitute and the first ad that -- just for the search result, the ad that showed up for the search result was a Target ad, which I'm guessing Target probably doesn't want to be associated with search results for find underage prostitute.

And finally and one that I find particularly troubling -- this was how to forge a passport, and it's fake passport USA step-by-step. It was up from May 2012 for a little over a year with over 12,000 views, and the ad against it running to the side was immigration appeal lawyer.

The other ad that was associated with this video was ways to get a green card. So the analytics knew enough to make it an immigration appeal ad connected to it or a green card, because it dealt with a passport.

When you go to the Web site that this video is trying to get you to go to, it has over 100 different offerings, fake passports, fake driver's license, fake

. 5

utility bills, fake credit cards, all in, you know, as we all know, the fake utility bills are -- a utility bill is something you need to be able to establish residency. So, whether you're a terrorist, a criminal, or someone who's trying to get around immigration law, it was a how-to Web site. That Web site still exists.

This driver's license PSD video producer, while they took down this ad when we promoted it -- when we raised an issue about it ten days ago, this video producer still exists, and there is a video on there still, "get a fake utility bill, fake Utah utility bill." My gut tells me, by the time we're done with this presentation, that'll be off YouTube, but it just shows four different examples of ways in which, unfortunately, there is dangerous stuff on YouTube, and unfortunately, Google is profiting off these things.

So, as I mentioned, when this got publicized about ten days ago, Google scrubbed YouTube, and that is a good thing, but the question is is it permanent. Because, if they don't have a systematic approach, there are -- we won't solve the problem, and there are still today dangerous videos on YouTube.

There's a second video I was going to show, which is very fast. If we can run that.

Oftentimes, these videos are very rudimentary. It

just shows this. You'll see the ad to the right for business apps, and an ad's about to run there. So there's two ads connected to this, even almost three. This is by GHB. GHB is a date rape drug. So this promotes a Web site that's saying you can buy GHB.

So that's really the challenge we're talking about is how do we clean up YouTube and how can we get places that are popular sites for teens and preteens in a better shape. We appreciate the fact that Google made those changes after the publicity, and we understand they have a tough job. There's a lot of videos that go on to YouTube, but the analogy I would use -- it's a little like the president going into a troubled neighborhood for the day to take a tour. The neighborhood gets cleaned up. The president comes in. Everything looks good.

The president goes home, and the next day, what happens? The neighborhood starts to get infested by the bad actors again. So, unless there's a systemic change, unfortunately we're going to see YouTube, as the attention goes away, end up having all these videos come back on.

In the ten days since General Hood's press conference and Google took some of those videos off YouTube, we've already seen them start to repopulate, and, if the only way to get rid of them is for someone to notify and not have something as simple as Google isolating some search

terms like maybe reviewing every video that it says fake passport or without a prescription, we're going to see the same problem repopulate on YouTube over the next coming months, and we'll be back in the same spot we were ten days ago.

So we look at this and think Google is a great company with brilliant minds. They have done amazing things. They have created things like Google Glass and self-driving cars, and we hope they'll focus some of those great minds on cleaning up YouTube, and we hope we can help make that happen. So thank you very much.

(Applause)

MR. CASTLE: So my name's Chris Castle, and I've got slides. I'm the one with the slides.

So if we could pop those up.

We're going to talk a little bit today about protecting consumers and advertisers on YouTube and also what I call brand-sponsored piracy. I'm going to make three main points.

YouTube has a catch-me-if-you-can business model that allows it to profit from distributing dubious content it could avoid posting. Advertisers and consumers are routinely duped on YouTube, and advertisers are routinely duped into supporting piracy through search and Web advertising.

Now, it's important to realize that there are many people at YouTube who view it as a replacement for television, ultimately, that will be delivered directly to the home over the Internet on Internet-enabled televisions. YouTube claims it cannot apply TV network-style standards and practices, because YouTube allows users to upload vast quantities of videos, which is sort of like the person who killed their parents complaining of being an orphan.

YouTube expects the user community to flag problem videos. You'll hear this a lot in Google's reaction to questions about what's on YouTube. They'll say well, you know, our community flags these problem videos, but only after Google opens the floodgates. So this kind of virtual stoning, if you will, by the community is really sort of hard to measure.

When is a video on YouTube flagged enough to get them to take it down? What about the harm that occurs between the time the video is uploaded and the time it's flagged? No real answer.

So why do we care about this? Let's take another example, and I realize this is kind of a parade of horribles, but yet this is the case. Human trafficking on YouTube -- after a NAAG meeting last year, Marsha Blackburn and Carolyn Maloney, who are members of Congress, sent a letter to Larry Page calling on Google to stop promoting

human trafficking advertisements and links. Google paid some money to anti-trafficking groups, but not much happened. All the good Catholics in the room will recognize an indulgence.

Until about September of last year, when an Android Escort app. called Utoopi surfaced in the press under the headline on RedState of, "Google Profits from Sex Trafficking," -- now, bear in mind Utoopi, this same app., had been rejected by the app. store. So, if you look at what the competition does, Apple was presented with this same app., and they didn't let it in the app. store, but it was let into what's called Google Player Android Market.

Now, that's the landing page of the app., and I just can't imagine why Apple would have gotten any conclusion about that app. that there was anything wrong with it, aside from the join the sex club part.

Jane Hamsher, at firedoglake, just to be on the other side of the political spectrum, got a reaction from Representative Maloney about this the next day, and her reaction was appalling beyond belief. Carolyn Maloney then sent another letter to Larry Page calling his attention to the app. and asking that it be removed, and she had an interesting point.

"Utoopi is engaged in an effort to recruit into the sex trafficking business those who are college age or

younger, and, within 24 hours, the app. was gone. Now, so what is the connection to YouTube?

Well, Utoopi has had a promotional video on YouTube, and we're just going to go over there right now, and I'm going to play you a little bit of it promoting the app.

(Begin Video)

NARRATOR: Hi. It's our pleasure to present
Nicole. Nicole lives in Barcelona. She's an independent
and modern girl. In the morning, she works in an office in
the city center. She's professional and a quick learner.
She likes to keep herself fit. She loves shopping, fashion,
and going out with her friends.

She knows how to have a good time. Additionally, Nicole is an independent escort, and, when she has free time, she goes online to find company. You can find out where she is on the map, and, not only her, but her girlfriends, too. You can see all of them at --

(End Video)

MR. CASTLE: You kind of get the idea, right?

Now, bear in mind this is basically a promotional video for an app. that Google removed with an apology after a complaint by two members of Congress that clearly, you know, got their attention. Right? So, when you look up here in the right-hand corner, as Tom had indicated before,

there's an ad up here, which I don't know what it's for, but it's a paid ad, and that advertiser almost certainly did not intend that the ad be shown against this video, which, I think, pretty clearly violates YouTube's terms of service to the point where, I'm sure, it will probably be off the service by the time we get off of this panel.

Now -- oops. Let me go back.

So, if you think of this from the point of view of the flagging by the community, I guess what happened here was that the particular community of two members of Congress did not -- RedState and firedoglake -- did not actually specify the particular video on YouTube that needed to be taken down, just the app. that needed to be taken down from the store after Google apologized. So you can see this is really flimsy stuff. You have duped advertisers, right? This is, I think, a pretty big problem.

We tried another search of tie teen girls, which I won't actually go out to the YouTube to do, but what you got back in search results on that was sex tourist videos and more duped advertisers. So there's tie teen girls. There is one video with some tied teen girls and promoting this Web site of the prostitution area of Bangkok, and there is duped advertiser number one, who probably did not intend for his campaign ad to show up in a video for tie teen girls.

Then, we tried Anwar Al-Awlaki, and what we got

. 1

2.0

back on that was dissemination of Jehadi propaganda, more duped advertisers, and some rather strange YouTube Channel partners, because these videos are monetized. So, for example, there he is. We all know who he is, and, if you look at the arrow, that's an ad for Mazda.

Now, I can almost guarantee you that Mazda did not intend for that ad to show up in that video, and, of course, one of the reasons these ads show up in these videos is because Google doesn't allow their advertisers to make the choice about, at that level of granularity, about where they want the ads to appear. You sort of take all Google platforms. I think Ben's going to talk about this some more -- or not, and it's really a contract of adhesion that doesn't allow for much -- any negotiation.

So YouTube is nothing like television.

Advertisers are duped and, frankly, I think, should get refunds for a lot of these videos, and, on the sex trafficking part, what we saw was that, when someone stands up and takes them on head-on, the community, that community will win in the long run, particularly the wives and mothers of that community, who will demand action, and they will get results rather than indulgences.

So let's talk a little bit about brand-sponsored piracy. You may not have heard that term. It's something that we kind of use around the shop, and I'll give you this

1.4

definition. It's the inadvertent purchase by legitimate media buyers of advertising inventory from pirate sites facilitated by deceptive advertising networks that often disguise the advertising served to pirate sites through an affiliate reseller network with ads that are priced by traffic from searches by users of major search engines or what I call the unholy alliance. You know, it also kind of sounds like RICO, but maybe not. So that's what it looks like.

Now, we didn't do anything special to find this site. This is an illegal music site, and what we saw when we got there was an ad for Pepsi. This obviously was a little back in January when we took this screen cap advertising the Super Bowl halftime show. We also saw an ad for Turbo Tax and Verizon.

So you can understand why a consumer going to this site would think it was legitimate, because it looks legitimate, doesn't it? It's got three big brands advertising right there, none of whom, I'm sure, had any idea that they were being served there, and I will tell you -- and take my word for it -- that in the HDML code of this site, if you look down, it's a who's the advertising network that they are connected to -- they have a Google ad sense (sic) publisher code.

So, if you think of it this way -- I realize that

was a lot of elements that I just threw at you. If you think of the money and the traffic as separated, the money starts with the brand. It always starts with the brand. The brand has a contract with their ad agency that says don't serve my ads on pirate sites and other bad things.

The ad agency has a deal with the ad network that says don't sell my client's ads on pirate sites, and the ad network has a deal with its inventory providers that say I won't do business with you if you're a pirate site, and then, they serve with the pirate sites, and, of course, one of the reasons they do that is because somewhere in here, if they're dealing with Google, there is this contract of adhesion that advertisers have to sign that basically prevents them from doing anything about where their ads appear, and I think Ben's going to talk about that as well.

Then, you have the traffic sites. So how does this become valuable? You have users that go to search engines, and, as we've seen, very easily find pirate sites, and then, that drives traffic back to the ad network, where it's very often the affiliated ad network of the search engine.

How do we know that that happens? Well, in the Mega Video indictment, the government told us that the Mega conspiracy had contracted with companies such as Ad Right and Google Ad Sense for advertising on Mega Video, which is

probably the biggest piracy bust in the last century.

As General Hood mentioned, Google receives between 15 to 20 million DMCA take-down notices a month for search alone. That doesn't include YouTube. It doesn't include Blogger or any of their other properties.

That's the Google transparency report, which you can find online. Google accumulates all these notices and updates them pretty much in real time.

That's a close up of the total number of URLs they received in the last month. That was taken about a week ago. So already this month, we're over 16 million, and very often, tens of thousands, of not hundreds of thousands of these notices are sent to the same sites for the same files that have just been repopulated with different links after Google has disabled the link, the indexed link to them in search. So they just come right back. This is the whack a mole effect that you probably have heard about.

Now, Google's response to this on the advertising part -- I love this. "To the extent there's a suggestion that Google ads are a major source of funding for major pirate sites, we believe it is mistaken." Not that they would know or anything, or maybe it's a major source of funds for minor pirate sites or a minor source of funds for major pirate sites.

And then, Mr. Schmidt tells us to follow the

2.2

money. This is what Google always says. General Hood alluded to this before. Go to the payment processors and so on, but recently, he said something interesting, which is new, in my experience. "If people are making money by stealing copyright, we can find them because the money has to go somewhere." Now, I find that to be very interesting, because, if the money is going somewhere and Google knows about it, why would that be if they weren't paying the money?

So follow the money. Let's follow the money.

Here's MP3crank with a well-known thief. They're making the Drive sound track available, which is for a motion picture, and strangely enough, there's BMW that has an ad there. Who would ever have put that together, that a BMW ad would get served on the Drive sound track? So we follow the money.

We follow the money. It starts with BMW, ends with the thief. We follow the money.

I don't really understand what the big mystery is about this, but the truth is advertisers don't like to be duped, and, if you go to them and explain this to them and tell them that you want to help them, they welcome the help, for the most part. We've done this with BMW, General Motors, Levis, Guitar Center, a lot of big brands, and this letter that we got from Guitar Center pretty much tells you what they do.

They call their ad agency and tell them put this site on the blocked list. It goes on the blocked list, and that's the end of it. It takes about an hour. So it's really not a big process. It's not burdensome. It's something that the advertisers want to do.

They don't say come back to me when you've adjudicated them and infringed or in the highest court in every land where the Internet obtains. You know? They don't say that to you. They say we don't want to be associated with that, and we'd like your help in trying to block it.

So the other thing to remember is that pirate sites offer the same content as legitimate sites. So, if you have, like, a Spotify, which is an ad-supported site, they're competing for those same advertisers and the same advertising dollars right alongside the pirates. The pirates, of course, can take much lower prices for the ads, because they have no content costs, and that suppresses the launch of legitimate businesses.

So, to summarize, the failure of standards and practices creates a defect, which could be easily fixed. Some might say it's a design defect. It allows YouTube videos to advertise illegal and abusive activities. It allows consumers and advertisers to be duped and drives

. 1

1.1

2.0

traffic to ad-supported sites, deceiving brands into supporting the theft of intellectual property.

Thank you.

(Applause)

MR. EDELMAN: Thanks. I'll be brief, given the time and given the privilege of participating after the distinguished panel.

You know, it's striking to hear the laundry list of bad behaviors that folks have talked about. I took the liberty of trying to classify the behavior and classify it basically into two groups for you. We have three categories of Google activities where Google directly profits, where Google places ads on its own services, syndicates ads onto other people's sites, and then, those ads that they syndicate onto other sites -- well, they could both be ads that are promoting unlawful conduct and ads that are placed onto unlawful sites.

Separately, there is a set of concerns as to indirect profits. Auto complete certainly is in that vein. Google doesn't make money directly from auto complete. Rather, they make money indirectly from what might happen after you use auto complete. So, too, for Google platforms that host unlawful content, and, of course, the original product, the algorithmic search product that makes it so easy to find whatever kind of material you're looking for,

be it lawful or not.

Well, the claims for the top half versus the bottom half of this list -- I think they're going to differ somewhat, maybe differ importantly, differ in the extent to which one would expect an immunity to apply, whether CDA 230 actually does or doesn't. Certainly, if some of us were in charge, we might design the immunity, for example, to apply less to the top half of the list than to the bottom of the list.

Separately, I was struck by the variety of kinds of bad behavior we've been talking about. Personally, I got started here with the top section of this list, consumer deception ads, and these are near and dear to me, just a sampling of the deceptive ads here.

This is from my Web site from seven years ago.

Who would have thought that the deceptive ads were

widespread even then? You'll get advertisers who are

promising free ring tones, but the fact of the matter is

they're charging you \$10 a month or promising that you can

download WinZip, and, little do you know, they're going to

charge you \$20 to buy WinZip, when, in fact, WinZip should

be free. So there are problems in that vein, which I've

called consumer deception.

Other kinds of consumer deception, too -- taking advantage of some of the most vulnerable consumers in the

country, folks who need a mortgage modification services of one sort or another, be it green card, looking for small-business grants, you name it. Those are all, broadly speaking, consumer deception.

Then, there are bad practices arising out of copyright concerns, as Chris just presented, programs that mess up your computer. They'll do that with a very, very high level of predictability. You install this program on your computer, and it is going to mess up your computer every single time, at least if you're buying a pharmaceutical product. You might get lucky and get a genuine, although General Hood's investigation certainly gives us reason to doubt the likelihood of that actually occurring.

Pharmacies, to me, are just a small part of the problem. They're an interesting corner of the problem, because the DOJ has investigated that so thoroughly and has shown us the scope of the problems.

Now, a separate reason to praise DOJ's effort is the level of proof that they've given us. You know, we always had suspected that Google wasn't really giving this their best shot. You look at the magic Google can accomplish when they put their mind to it.

A car that drives itself, that can recognize the difference between a squirrel and a cat and a Frisbee and

take different evasive actions according to the likely predicted behaviors of each of those and the seriousness of running over a squirrel versus running over a Frisbee. Now, this is remarkable, and Google's car can do that. If they can do that, why can't they recognize that free Oxycontin, no prescription required is not a proper title for an advertisement or for a video? You sort of feel like the smart engineers are off doing something else, or maybe these engineers aren't giving this their best shot.

Well, I have here just a sampling of the pieces of evidence that have always led me to suspect Google wasn't trying very hard. You know, the next-to-last on this list is particularly striking. Folks don't remember this Wall Street Journal article from about three years ago. Google had extended a line of credit to advertisers whose sole business was to infringe copyrights, the sorts of thing that Chris was explaining to us.

Well, Google was their biggest creditor, and, in bankruptcy proceedings, it came out that Google had loaned them money to buy ads to help users infringe copyright.

Absolutely remarkable. But we only get small pieces of this coming out, because, of course, the litigation defenses have been pretty successful. So I want to suggest a couple next steps that might be worth thinking about.

First, as Chris says, advertisers are paying for

this mess, and you might think that this is a breach of contract. After all, it can't be that an advertiser has seriously contracted to place their ads on sites that provide unlawful material. To start with, that would be an illegal subject matter, right, and you can't have a contract for which the subject matter of the contract is the performance of an illegal service.

So an advertiser could sue Google. This is a claim that's near and dear to my heart, because my first matter as an attorney -- I guess the first month after I passed the bar, brought a class action against Yahoo for putting advertisers' ads in the wrong place, which they absolutely had done, and I had excellent, top-quality proof of that.

Well, Yahoo had some contract defenses. Google saw that case and saw some other rumblings in the context of click fraud class actions and imposed onto advertisers just some remarkable revisions, insertions into the ad words, terms, and conditions contract, the standard form contract that every advertiser must accept. This is the section where Google tells an advertiser where their ad will be placed, an awfully important section, of course.

If you're buying advertising, the most important thing to know is what kind of advertising you're buying.

Where will the user see it? So Google says ads may be

2.2

placed in any place where Google places ads.

If any of you are familiar with the works of Dr. Seuss, right, this sort of sounds like a line out of Green Eggs and Ham. The ads are placed in the places where we place them where we place them in the places where they're placed. I love that guy.

(Laughter)

I think maybe he could be a lawyer for Google. This is incredibly circular. In any consumer contract, we would deem this to be an unfair and deceptive trade practice. Here in Massachusetts, you'd get out 93(a).

Now, a lot of the advertisers that Google does business with are pretty small. We saw some big advertisers in Chris' presentation. Fact is a lot of advertisers are mom and pop shops who could never file suit against Google. The big ones, meanwhile, have so much at stake, so many ways that they're counting on Google to treat them fairly.

Imagine if you went to Google and you searched for Pepsi and it showed you Coke instead. Not totally out of the question. It happens all the time that you search for Hyatt and you get an ad for Hilton. Would Pepsi or Hyatt or Hilton want to pick a fight with Google?

Here we get to the remarkable problem of Google's dominance. If there were ten small Googles each with ten percent market share, I think our conversation would

actually sound quite different today, because a few of them would have sent representatives to this meeting to seek the good auspices of NAAG, to seek our endorsement and cooperation to find a way to fix the problem, and we'd be well on the way to fixing the problem, but with only one Google, they can stonewall much more effectively against the attorneys general, and they can stonewall more effectively against the advertisers.

So, to the extent that some of you are thinking about the competition issues, which certainly have been raised in multiple states, it seems to me that's awfully important, and that could help with the harsh terms and conditions here. If we can't count on advertisers to bring suit on their own, what's left? Well, certainly attorneys general could bring complaints as to the deceptive advertisements or other unlawful advertisements.

CDA 230 offers this important defense. No provider of an interactive communications service shall be treated as a publisher or speaker of any content from any other publisher. Does that really cover a company in the advertising business? Certainly, no one in Congress thought so.

You can read the remarks on the floor of Congress, read the congressional record. This was a statute passed to deal with the problem of liable, liable on AOL discussion

forums. It wasn't about advertising at all. Frankly, I think the case law interpreting CDA 230 is headed in a crazy direction without much support in the statute, but, be that as it may, we don't get to rewrite CDA 230, and there doesn't seem to be much legislative appetite for changing it.

Well, the criminal exception is an awfully important exception, probably underused for the kinds of copyright problems that Chris showed us. These are problems that all have both civil and criminal statutes on point. If you flag the criminal statute, then CDA 230 doesn't apply at all, and, whatever problem you thought CDA 230 was going to give you, that problem is solved, thanks to the underlying criminal violation.

Also, claims arising out of false advertising where Google itself made some particular statement. If they promised to screen for unlawful conduct, unlawful content, unlawful advertisements and then, they didn't, that could be the basis of an independent act, where it's Google's own failure to follow through in their promise that gives rise to the liability.

Now, finally, discovery, documents -- you think about the magic of actually getting to see the internal communications, see the source code, the computer code, the business records. It's a little bit unreasonable to think

one could prove the case just from the material available on the public Internet, and so, General Hood's requests for documents, I think, is very well-taken, and all of you have the power to get the documents. Maybe that's a strategy that's worth thinking about.

You know, I have a crawler, a robot that goes around searching for places where ads shouldn't appear, and it's pretty good. It's a hard working robot. It works around the clock. Actually, here are 100 of them, so it's 100-fold twins.

They find regularly thousands of instances of Google placing ads into invisible windows, into spyware and adware, popups, typo squadding, not to mention the sorts of objectionable content and unlawful content that we've heard. It's tempting to show you, say, what my robot caught in the last 24 hours, and I've prepared a few examples of that, but, given that the rest of the panel has done such a good job, we've got the poster boards and so forth, perhaps I'll leave it at that.

Thanks.

(Applause)

MR. HOOD: We've exhausted our time, and I'm really appreciative of the panel and the way that this meshed together. I had nothing to do with it. I think that the panel went through the issues in a fast manner.

Page 55 1 So we're supposed to have a break right now. You want to take a couple question? 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sure. (Indiscernible.) 3 MR. HOOD: Okay. Maybe we'll take about five 4 minutes, if any of you have any questions. If not, they'll 5 be available to hopefully answer any, if any of you have any 6 7 questions. Now we'll take them. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Jim, I'm wondering if you could get from the two speakers that kind of had outlines -if you could get that and make those available to us. I'd 1.0 11 be very interested. 12 MR. HOOD: All right. I'm going to -- I wanted them, too. So --13 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. MR. HOOD: -- what we'll do -- we will get them 15 16 and circulate them through email to you. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. I'd appreciate that. 17 18 MR. HOOD: Okay. 19 All right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hold on one second. 20 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you to this panel. think it was important for all of us. 23 24 A couple things. We are going to take a break, and we'll start up again at 3:00. Former Attorney General 25

Page 56 Rob McKenna will be leading that discussion. 1 2 For those of you who are going to the reception this evening and then the baseball game, there's going to be 3 four different buses. So you can go at either 5:00 -- what 4 is it? Right outside on the first floor? 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right outside the first 6 floor. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right outside of the first 8 floor at 5:00, 5:15, 5:30, or 5:45 will get you. 9 reception itself is from 5:30 to 6:30. So, depending on 10 what your schedule is, you can take every 15 minutes 11 starting at 5:00 until quarter of 6:00 catch one of the 4 12 13 buses, and, with that, we'll take a break and reconvene at 3:00. 14 (Break) 15 16 (Conclusion of Audio) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 57 CERTIFICATION I, Nicole Yawn, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Date: June 27, 2013 

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Nicole Yawn, certify that the foregoing transcript is a
4	true and accurate record of the proceedings.
5	nd a sa d
6	Micole Yaur
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Date: June 27, 2013
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# [1 - allows]

1         500,000         12:12         ad         14:25 15:14         42:13 44:19 45           1         6:19 27:16,23,25         5:00         56:4,9,12         19:12,14 21:17         45:25 47:17 49           28:3         5:10         56:9         22:10 30:14 31:13         49:25 50:12,17           10 47:19         5:30         56:9,10         31:15,16 32:2,5,9,9         51:12,13,14 52           10 0 32:24 54:9,10         6         34:1 39:1,2,3,24         40:5,7 41:12,14,23         41:2,3,4,14,19           12,000 32:16         6:00 56:12         40:5,7 41:12,14,23         41:2,3,4,14,19           14 3:20,22 19:12         6:30 56:10         42:25 44:13,14 45:2         50:23,24 52:21           20:10         7         7.5 27:24         45:15 46:1 50:18,21         50:23,24 52:21           16 43:11         7.5 27:24         78 12:9         additionally 38:14         advise 11:10         advise 11:10           17 7:20         79 4:21         8         23:6         adhesion 40:13         42:13         affiliate 41:5           18 1:8         19th 6:17         8         4:21         42:13         adjudicated 45:8         adjudicated 45:8         admiral 8:2         37:25           2 28:18,22,25         93 51:11         ag. 3:1,23:12 <t< th=""><th>28,13 5 25 22 217 53:1</th></t<>	28,13 5 25 22 217 53:1
1 6:19 27:16,23,23         28:3         5:15 56:9         22:10 30:14 31:13         49:25 50:12,17           10 47:19         5:30 56:9,10         31:15,16 32:2,5,9,9         51:12,13,14 52         advertising 9:1           10th 8:17         6         34:1 39:1,2,3,24         40:5,7 41:12,14,23         41:2,3,4,14,19,           14 3:20,22 19:12 20:10         6:30 56:10         42:25 44:13,14 45:2         42:25 43:18 45           20:10         7         45:15 46:1 50:18,21         53:15 53:15           15 27:9,21 28:6,13 28:20 43:3 56:11         75. 27:24 70 4:22 7:19         42:25 44:13,14 45:2 45:15 46:1 50:18,21         53:15 36:15 36:15 36:15           16 43:11 7:20 79 4:21         78 12:9 79 4:21 36:36:36:36         34:2 34:2 34:2 36:36:36:36         34:3 34:2 34:2 36:36:36:36:36         34:3 34:2 36:36:36:36:36:36         34:3 34:2 36:36:36:36:36:36:36:36:36:36:36:36:36:3	28,13 5 25 22 217 53:1
28:3 10 47:19 100 32:24 54:9,10 10th 8:17 12,000 32:16 14 3:20,22 19:12 20:10 15 27:9,21 28:6,13 28:20 43:3 56:11 16 43:11 17 7:20 17th 7:6 18 1:8 19th 6:17 1st 6:9 7:10  2 28:18,22,25 2 28:18,22,25 2 0 43:3 47:21 2011 18:4,5 2 30 56:9,10 5:45 56:9 32:10 30:14 31:13 31:15,16 32:2,5,9,9 32:10,16,19,21 33:8 34:1 39:1,2,3,24 40:5,7 41:12,14,23 42:4,6,6,7,19,20,24 42:25 44:13,14 45:2 42:25 44:13,14 45:2 42:25 44:13,14 45:2 50:23,24 52:21 53:15 3ditionally 38:14 address 2:2 22:16 adhesion 40:13 42:13 adjudicated 45:8 admiral 8:2 admiral 8:2 admiral 8:2 admiral 8:2 admiral 8:2 admiral 3:19:2 23:7 ads 9:16,23 13:14 31:18 33:9,18 3	5 25 22 21 217 53:1
10       47:19         100       32:24 54:9,10         10th 8:17       6         12,000       32:16         14       3:20,22 19:12         20:10       42:25 44:13,14 45:2         7       42:25 44:13,14 45:2         20:10       42:25 44:13,14 45:2         7       45:15 46:1 50:18,21         16       43:11         17       7:20         17th 7:6       78         18 1:8       8         19th 6:17       8         1st 6:9 7:10       9         2       90 25:5         2       90 25:5         20 43:3 47:21       a         20 43:3 47:21       a         20 11 18:4,5       a.g. 3:10,11 4:6            31:15,16 32:2,5,9,9       32:10,16,19,21 33:8       advertising 9:1         40:5,7 41:12,14,23       41:2,3,4,14,19,42         42:25 44:13,14 45:2       50:23,24 52:21         53:15       advise 11:10         advise 9:12       adwise 9:13         adhesion 40:13       afternoon 2:9         admiral 8:2       agency 42:4,64         admiral 8:2       agency 42:4,64         admiral 8:2       37:25	5 25 22 21 217 53:1
100       32:24 54:9,10       5:45 56:9       32:10,16,19,21 33:8       advertising 9:1         10th 8:17       6       34:1 39:1,2,3,24       15:21 16:9 35:2         12,000 32:16       6:00 56:12       40:5,7 41:12,14,23       41:2,3,4,14,19,42         14 3:20,22 19:12 20:10       6:30 56:10       42:4,6,6,7,19,20,24       42:25 44:13,14 45:2       50:23,24 52:21         15 27:9,21 28:6,13 28:20 43:3 56:11       7.5 27:24       70 4:22 7:19       ad's 34:2       advise 11:10       advised 9:21         16 43:11       77 7:20       78 12:9       additionally 38:14       advertising 9:1       35:25 advise 11:10       advise 11:10       advise 11:10       advise 9:21       advise 9:21       advise 11:10       advise 9:21       advise 9:21       advise 11:10       advis	25 222 :17 :53:1
10th 8:17       6       34:1 39:1,2,3,24       15:21 16:9 35:2         12,000 32:16       6:00 56:12       40:5,7 41:12,14,23       41:2,3,4,14,19,425         14 3:20,22 19:12 20:10       7       42:4,6,6,7,19,20,24       42:25 44:13,14 45:2       50:23,24 52:21         15 27:9,21 28:6,13 28:20 43:3 56:11       7.5 27:24       51:21 advise 11:10       advise 9:21 additionally 38:14 address 2:2 22:16       advised 9:21 address 2:2 22:16         16 43:11       8       8       23:6 adhesion 40:13 adjudicated 45:8 admiral 8:2 adjudicated 45:8 admiral 8:2 admirsion 9:3 adjudicated 45:8 admiral 8:2 admirsion 9:3 agency 42:4,64       37:25 agency 42:4,64         2 2 8:18,22,25 20 43:3 47:21       93 51:11 advise 11:10 advise 11:10 advised 9:21 admiral 8:2 afternoon 2:9 afternoon's 2:1 adjudicated 45:8 admiral 8:2 admiral 8:2 age 27:14 28:8, admiral 8:2 admiral 8:2 age 27:14 28:8, admiral 8:2 admi	22 :17 :53:1
12,000       32:16       6:00       56:12       40:5,7 41:12,14,23       41:2,3,4,14,19,423       42:25 43:18 45       42:25 44:13,14 45:2       42:25 43:18 45       50:23,24 52:21 <t< td=""><td>:17 :53:1</td></t<>	:17 :53:1
14       3:20,22 19:12       6:30       56:10       42:4,6,6,7,19,20,24       42:25 44:13,14 45:2       50:23,24 52:21         15       27:9,21 28:6,13       7.5       27:24       51:21       advise 11:10         16       43:11       70       4:22 7:19       ad's 34:2       additionally 38:14       advised 9:21         17       7:20       78       12:9       additionally 38:14       address 2:2 22:16       affiliate 41:5         18       1:8       8       23:6       affiliate 41:5         18       1:8       8       23:6       affiliate 42:20         1st 6:9 7:10       9       25:5       admiral 8:2       afternoon 2:9         2       90       25:5       admiral 8:2       agency 42:4,64         20       43:3 47:21       admit 3:1 9:2 23:7       ago 11:8,9,21 1         2011       18:4,5       a.g. 3:10,11 4:6       ads 9:16,23 13:14       31:18 33:9,18 3	53:1
20:10       7       42:25 44:13,14 45:2       50:23,24 52:21         15 27:9,21 28:6,13       7.5 27:24       45:15 46:1 50:18,21       53:15         28:20 43:3 56:11       70 4:22 7:19       ad's 34:2       advise 11:10         16 43:11       70 4:22 7:19       additionally 38:14       adware 54:13         17 7:20       79 4:21       address 2:2 22:16       affiliate 41:5         18 1:8       8       23:6       adhesion 40:13       afternoon 2:9         18 1:8       9       25:5       adjudicated 45:8       age 27:14 28:8,         2 28:18,22,25       93 51:11       admission 9:3       agency 42:4,64         20 43:3 47:21       ago 11:8,9,21 1         2011 18:4,5       a.g. 3:10,11 4:6       ads 9:16,23 13:14       31:18 33:9,18 3	
15 27:9,21 28:6,13 28:20 43:3 56:11 7.5 27:24 70 4:22 7:19 78 12:9 78 12:9 79 4:21 address 2:2 22:16 23:6 advised 9:21 adware 54:13 affiliate 41:5 23:6 admiral 8:2 admiral 8:2 admiral 8:2 28:18,22,25 20 43:3 47:21 a a gency 42:4,6 4 age 27:14 28:8, 20 43:3 47:21 a a gency 42:4,6 4 age 27:14 28:8, 20 11:8,4,5 20 2:10 2:10 2:10 2:10 2:10 2:10 2:10 2	3,16
28:20 43:3 56:11       7.5 27:24       51:21       advise 11:10         16 43:11       70 4:22 7:19       ad's 34:2       advised 9:21         17 7:20       78 12:9       additionally 38:14       adware 54:13         17 1 7:6       8       23:6       affiliate 41:5         18 1:8       8       23:6       affiliate 42:20         18 1:8       9       23:6       adhesion 40:13       afternoon 2:9         18 6:9 7:10       9       2:13       adjudicated 45:8       age 27:14 28:8,         2 28:18,22,25       93 51:11       admiral 8:2       37:25         20 43:3 47:21       admit 3:1 9:2 23:7       agency 42:4,6 4         2011 18:4,5       a.g. 3:10,11 4:6       ads 9:16,23 13:14       31:18 33:9,18 3	3,16
16 43:11       70 4:22 7:19       ad's 34:2       advised 9:21         17 7:20       78 12:9       additionally 38:14       adware 54:13         17 17:6       8       23:6       affiliate 41:5         18 1:8       84 4:21       42:13       afternoon 2:9         18 1:8       9       25:5       admiral 8:2       age 27:14 28:8,         2 28:18,22,25       93 51:11       admiral 8:2       agency 42:4,64         20 43:3 47:21       agency 42:4,64       agency 42:4,64         2011 18:4,5       a.g. 3:10,11 4:6       ads 9:16,23 13:14       31:18 33:9,18 3	3,16
78       12:9       additionally       38:14       adware       54:13         17th       7:6       8       address       2:2 22:16       affiliate       41:5         18       1:8       8       23:6       adhesion       40:13       afternoon       2:9         1st       6:9 7:10       9       42:13       adjudicated       45:8       age       27:14 28:8,         2       90       25:5       admiral       8:2       37:25         2       93       51:11       admirsion       9:3       agency       42:4,64         2011       18:4,5       a.g.       3:10,11 4:6       ads       9:16,23 13:14       31:18 33:9,18 3	3,16
79 4:21       address 2:2 22:16       affiliate 41:5         18 1:8       8       23:6       affiliate 42:20       affiliate 42:20         19th 6:17       84 4:21       adhesion 40:13       afternoon 2:9       afternoon's 2:1       age 27:14 28:8,         2       90 25:5       admiral 8:2       37:25       agency 42:4,6 4         20 43:3 47:21       admit 3:1 9:2 23:7       agency 42:4,6 4       agency 42:4,6 4         2011 18:4,5       a.g. 3:10,11 4:6       ads 9:16,23 13:14       31:18 33:9,18 3	3,16
18       1:8       8       23:6       affiliated 42:20         19th 6:17       84       4:21       adhesion 40:13       afternoon 2:9         1st 6:9 7:10       9       42:13       afternoon's 2:1         2       90       25:5       admiral 8:2       37:25         2 28:18,22,25       93       51:11       admission 9:3       agency 42:4,64         20 43:3 47:21       agency 42:4,64       agency 42:4,64         2011 18:4,5       a.g. 3:10,11 4:6       ads 9:16,23 13:14       31:18 33:9,18 3	3,16
19th 6:17         1st 6:9 7:10       9       adhesion 40:13       afternoon 2:9         2       90 25:5       adjudicated 45:8       age 27:14 28:8, 37:25         2 28:18,22,25       93 51:11       admiral 8:2       agency 42:4,6 4         20 43:3 47:21       a dmit 3:1 9:2 23:7       age ncy 42:4,6 4         2011 18:4,5       a.g. 3:10,11 4:6       ads 9:16,23 13:14       31:18 33:9,18 3	3,16
1st       6:9 7:10       9       42:13       afternoon's 2:1         2       90       25:5       admiral 8:2       37:25         2       28:18,22,25       93       51:11       admiral 8:2       agency 42:4,64         20       43:3 47:21       admit 3:1 9:2 23:7       agency 42:4,64         2011       18:4,5       a.g. 3:10,11 4:6       ads 9:16,23 13:14       31:18 33:9,18 3	3,16
2 90 25:5 admiral 8:2 37:25 20 43:3 47:21 a admit 3:1 9:2 23:7 age 11:8,9,21 1 2011 18:4,5 a.g. 3:10,11 4:6 a.g. 3:10,11 4:6	8,16
2 28:18,22,25 93 51:11 admirsion 9:3 agency 42:4,64 ago 11:8,9,21 1 2011 18:4,5 a.g. 3:10,11 4:6 ads 9:16,23 13:14 31:18 33:9,18 3	
20 43:3 47:21	
2011 18:4,5 a.g. 3:10,11 4:6 ads 9:16,23 13:14 31:18 33:9,18 3	5:2
a.g. 5.10,11 4.0	
17017 5.7 5 10.5	5:5
1 1.9.8 13.1 // 1	14
21:21 31:17 32:15   26:13   21:18 25:17 30:6,13   agree 13:15,18	
<b>2013</b> 1:7,8 6:5,17 <b>able</b> 4:2 13:23 19:23 31:3 34:3 40:8,11 15:25	
32:5 57:11 23:21 33:3 41:5 42:5,7,14 agreed 13:13 18	3:6
20th 7:10 absolutely 49:21 43:20 45:18 46:13 agreement 5:1,	
<b>21st</b> 7:24 8:8   50:13   46:13,14,15,16   13:13 14:9 15:1	0,10
230 7:4 10:14,16 abusive 45:24 47:13,14,16 49:20 16:2,11 18:1,4,	-
19:20 22:13 23:18 accept 50:20 50:3,12,25 51:1,4 ahead 16:25 19	:1
23:22 47:5 52:17 access 1:10 54:7,12 23:12	
53:2,4,11,12 accomplish 48:23 adult 29:5 al 39:25	
24 38:1 54:16 accumulates 43:7 adults 29:11 alarming 30:5	
accurate 57:4 advantage 47:25 algorithm 8:15	
3 act 53:19 advertise 45:24 11:14,14 24:4,6	-
3 20:2 action 19:22 23:3 advertisement 49:7 algorithmic 46:	
3:00 55:25.56:14 25:16.40:21.50:11 advertisements 37:1 alliance 2:18.26	:8
actions 9:19 49:1 52:16,16 53:18 28:11 41:7	
50:17   advertiser 19:9   allow 8:15 11:9	40:9
4 6:20 56:12 activities 30:18 21:15 39:2,23 50:2 40:14	
40 12:6 45:24 46:12 50:8,20,21 allowed 30:4 31	:2,3
activity 25:3,9 advertisers 4:1 16:7 allowing 5:2	
50 12:6,6   actors 30:17 34:18   25:12,13,13,14,15   allows 35:21 36	:6
<b>500</b> 5:1 13:11 29:15 35:17,22,23 39:15 45:23,25	
39:20 40:2,9,16	

[alluded - business] Page 2

alluded 44:2	art 4:12,13	b	bills 33:1,2
alongside 45:17	article 49:14	back 7:5 13:7 17:8	<b>bind</b> 16:3
amazing 35:7	aside 37:15	18:3,16,21 19:23,23	bing 7:20 10:5 26:2
america 12:5	asked 5:11 6:6 7:25	20:18 21:5 22:5,5,6	bit 2:25 3:6 26:16
americans 26:25	25:22	34:20 35:4 39:7,19	35:16 38:5 40:23
analogy 34:12	asking 5:10 8:18	40:1 41:13 42:19	53:25
analytics 31:14	11:17 37:22	43:16 45:7	blackburn 36:23
32:20	asks 30:11		block 6:24 20:5
android 37:6,12	aspects 2:2 13:9	background 3:14 bad 28:7 30:17	23:10 45:12
answer 6:2,8,18	assistant 3:10 4:6	34:17 42:5 46:9	blocked 11:21 45:3
7:15 36:19 55:6	associate 2:19		45:3
answers 4:17	associated 32:11,19	47:11 48:5	blocking 11:22
anti 37:2	45:11	bangkok 39:22	blogger 43:5
anwar 39:25	associates 1:6	bankruptcy 49:19	bmw 44:13,14,16,22
anyway 9:25	association 26:11	banks 13:2,2	boards 54:18
aol 11:1,2,5,8 52:25	associations 26:18	bar 50:11	bogus 12:21
apologized 39:14	assume 23:19	barcelona 38:9	boil 2:21
apology 38:22	attendance 6:2	baseball 56:3	bore 4:17
app 37:6,8,9,11,11	attention 34:19	basically 3:12 8:5	<b>boston</b> 19:14
37:13,15,22 38:1,6	37:21 38:24 45:1	38:21 42:13 46:11	bottom 17:2 47:3,8
38:22 39:13	attorney 2:5,6 3:18	basis 53:19	bought 13:25 16:9
appalling 37:20	16:11 50:10 55:25	<b>bath</b> 19:6,7	27:4
appeal 32:17,21	attorney's 14:12	battle 4:5	bowl 41:14
appear 7:25 10:12	attorneys 1:62:19	battles 19:6	box 17:4,5
40:11 42:15 54:7	4:5 26:1,11 52:7,14	bear 37:8 38:21	boy 27:21
appetite 23:17 53:5	audio 56:16	began 4:25 8:20,22	brand 35:18 40:23
applause 35:12 46:4	august 5:2,5 18:4	9:4 25:23 30:20	42:3,3,4
54:21	auspices 52:3	behavior 46:10	brands 41:18 44:23
apple 37:10,14	_	47:11	46:1
,	auto 4:14,16 5:12,12	<b>behaviors</b> 46:9 49:2	breach 50:1
apply 36:5 47:5,7	5:14,19 6:13,21	belief 37:20	
	7:13 9:1 10:6,8 11:9	believe 4:22 43:21	break 55:1,24 56:13
<b>appreciate</b> 6:2 34:9	11:21,24 13:16	ben's 40:12 42:15	56:15
55:17	16:21,21 17:2 23:11	benjamin 2:19	brief 46:5
appreciative 54:23	46:19,20,22	best 4:17 23:10	brilliant 35:7
approach 33:20	available 30:22	48:22 49:9	bring 52:13,15
appropriate 6:23	44:12 54:1 55:6,10	better 34:8	bringing 45:1
apps 34:2	avoid 35:22	beyond 37:20	broad 6:24
april 6:9,17 7:10	aware 12:7,23	big 25:5 39:16 41:18	broadly 27:6 30:21
archive 18:12,12,14	awareness 26:19,22	44:18,23 45:5 51:13	48:3
18:18,19 19:2,4	27:7	51:16	brought 50:11
archives 18:24	awfully 50:22 52:11	biggest 4:23 43:1	burdensome 45:5
area 2:15 4:10 39:22	53:7	49:18	buses 56:4,13
arising 48:5 53:15	awlaki 39:25	bill 33:2,11,11	business 2:20 34:2
arrow 40:5			35:20 37:25 42:9
			48:3 49:16 51:13

## [business - connected]

50.01.50.05	E 4.1	-1	2.61016
52:21 53:25	54:1	christian 2:18	committee 2:6,12,15
businesses 4:7 45:20	cases 24:5	chronic 31:13	2:23 5:7 6:1,4,16
bust 43:1	castle 2:18,19 35:13	circular 51:9	11:3 24:16,17
buy 3:23 5:21 6:13	35:13 38:20	circulate 55:16	communicate 8:6
10:8,8 11:17 13:20	castleberry 11:1	citizens 2:17 26:8,16	communications
13:24 14:7 16:22	cat 48:25	28:11 29:7	15:9 52:18 53:24
17:1,8 18:8,9 19:5,7	catch 15:22 35:20	city 38:11	community 36:9,12
19:13,15 20:24 21:3	56:12	civil 8:12 15:7 23:23	36:14 39:9,10 40:19
21:7,11,22 22:8	categories 46:11	24:19 53:10	40:19,21
31:9,11 34:5 47:21	catholics 37:3	claim 50:9	companies 9:23
49:20	caught 15:24 54:15	claims 36:5 47:2	10:3 26:18 42:24
buyers 41:2	cd 19:15	53:15	company 15:24 25:9
buying 6:10 11:10	cda 47:5 52:17 53:2	clara 10:13	35:7 38:16 52:20
15:18 22:11 48:10	53:4,11,12	class 50:11,17	competing 45:16
50:23,24	center 38:11 44:23	classify 46:10,10	competition 37:10
buys 3:25 13:25	44:24	clean 34:7	52:10
16:6,14 18:22 19:18	century 43:1	cleaned 34:14	complaining 36:8
bypassing 29:9	ceo 7:24	cleaning 35:10	complaint 38:23
C	certainly 39:2 46:19	clearly 15:15 38:23	complaints 52:15
	47:6 48:12 52:10,14	39:4	complete 4:14,16
c 57:1,1	52:21	click 12:3 14:8 17:1	5:12,13,14,19 6:13
california 24:24	certify 57:3	18:11,15 50:17	6:21 7:13 9:1 10:6,8
call 8:12 14:15	chair 2:22 24:16	client's 42:7	11:21,24 13:17
35:18 41:7 45:2	chairs 2:5,11 6:5	clock 54:9	16:21,22 17:2 23:11
<b>called</b> 8:2 10:5	24:17	close 43:9	46:19,20,22
12:11 14:25 15:18	challenge 34:6	club 37:16	completes 11:9
30:3 37:6,12 47:23	change 23:16,18	coakley's 3:17	complex 4:10
<b>calling</b> 36:25 37:21	28:16 34:18	cocaine 30:3	complicated 15:23
campaign 39:24	changes 8:10 9:2,4,5	code 41:21,24 53:24	computer 20:20
canadian 13:12	23:7 34:9	53:24	48:7,9,9 53:24
15:15	changing 53:5	codone 8:25	computers 14:3
cane 20:13	changing 55.5	coke 51:19	concerns 46:18 48:6
cap 41:13	charge 47:7,21	colleagues 8:12	conclusion 24:15
captured 9:11	•	24:17 31:5	37:14 56:16
captures 18:12	charging 47:19 checking 10:4		conditions 50:19
car 48:24 49:4		college 37:25	52:13
card 14:1,5 28:21,23	chemical 17:14	column 21:19	
28:23 32:20,22 48:2	chief 7:25	come 5:23 8:9 10:16	conduct 46:16 53:17
cards 33:1	child 11:5,20,21	23:6 25:21,24 31:18	conference 25:22
care 27:12,13 36:20	children 26:4,15	34:20 43:16 45:7	31:19 34:22
career 3:9	29:20,20	comes 34:15	congress 23:16,20
carolyn 36:24 37:20	choice 40:10	coming 8:2 23:12	36:24 38:23 39:10
cars 35:9	chris 2:18 3:16	35:3 49:22	52:21,23
case 30:15 32:1	35:13 48:6 49:17,25	comments 2:13,16	congressional 52:24
36:22 50:16 53:2	51:14 53:9	2:21	connected 32:21
			34:3 41:23

# [connection - drop]

	<u></u>	T	
connection 32:3	court 10:19 23:25	deceiving 46:1	discovery 15:6
38:2	24:1 45:8	deception 47:13,23	53:22
conscientious 25:2	courts 8:4	47:24 48:4	discuss 26:12
conspiracy 42:24	cover 2:4 52:20	deceptive 41:3	discussed 7:5
consultant 10:13	crawler 54:6	47:14,16 51:10	discussing 11:4
consumer 26:17	crazy 53:2	52:15	discussion 8:10
41:16 47:12,23,24	created 35:8	<b>deem</b> 51:10	52:25 56:1
48:4 51:9	creates 45:22	defect 45:22,23	disgorge 24:12
consumers 26:15	credit 14:1,5 28:20	defending 24:5	disguise 41:4
35:17,22 45:25	28:23,23 33:1 49:15	<b>defense</b> 23:22 24:1	dissemination 40:1
47:25	creditor 49:18	52:17	distinguished 46:7
content 1:10 11:19	crimes 1:9 2:4	defenses 49:22	distressing 30:16
12:1 20:7 31:1	criminal 8:4 10:17	50:15	distributing 35:21
35:21 45:14,19	23:21 33:4 53:7,10	definition 41:1	disturbing 29:18
46:23 52:19 53:17	53:11,14	delist 23:4	django 20:10 22:18
54:14,14	criminals 27:2	delisted 12:15	23:1
context 50:16	cs1693191 1:25	delisting 12:17,17	dmca 43:3
contract 40:13 42:4	cuccinelli 2:7,11	delivered 16:20	doctor 29:8
42:12 50:2,5,6,15	curtain 24:7	21:22 36:3	documents 8:13
50:19,19 51:9	customer 27:18,24	demand 40:21	53:22 54:3,4
contracted 42:24	28:2,20,24	demands 8:12 15:8	doing 3:8,21 11:6
50:3	cut 7:12 13:3	23:23 24:19	20:18 42:14 49:8
convene 5:7	cyber 26:22	demonstrating	<b>doj</b> 48:17
convened 13:1	d ·	28:12	doj's 48:19
conversation 51:25	<b>d.a.</b> 3:10	demoting 12:17	dollars 45:17
conveyed 10:15	dad's 28:20	department 16:6,12	domain 13:6
cooperation 52:4	danger 26:15	depending 56:10	domains 13:6
copies 22:21	dangerous 1:10	design 45:23 47:7	dominance 51:24
<b>copyright</b> 7:22 44:5	30:18 33:14,21	devastating 15:7	door 18:22
48:6 49:20 53:9	dangers 20:22 26:19	differ 47:3,4,4	<b>doubt</b> 48:13
copyrights 49:16	27:4 29:12	difference 26:4	doug 2:1,25 3:2
corner 38:25 48:16	date 30:3 34:4 57:11	48:25	doug's 7:4
correct 21:16	daughter 3:16	<b>different</b> 13:16,25	download 3:22
costs 45:19	day 34:13,16 37:19	19:19 20:16 31:22	11:23,23,24,24
counsel 7:25	days 10:10 31:18,20	32:4,24 33:13 43:14	47:20
<b>count</b> 52:13	33:9,18 34:21 35:4	49:1 52:1 56:4	dr 51:3
counterfeit 15:3	deal 4:11 7:9 13:5	digital 2:17 3:17	drive 44:12,15
17:15,21,23	20:7 28:9 42:6,8	26:8,16 28:11	driven 11:15
counting 51:17	52:25	direction 53:3	driver's 32:25 33:7
country 5:3 48:1	dealing 3:4 42:12	directly 36:3 46:12	drives 42:19 45:25
<b>couple</b> 31:4 49:23	dealt 4:24 31:15	46:20	48:24
55:2,24	32:22	<b>director</b> 2:17 26:8	driving 35:9
course 40:7 42:10	dear 47:13 50:9	directs 12:1	<b>drop</b> 5:18 11:10
45:18 46:23 49:22		disabled 43:15	12:3
50:22			
	XVitt C		

### [dropdown - following]

dropdown 18:9	emma 3:16	<b>executive</b> 2:17 26:7	feds 15:24
drug 3:25 14:13	employee 25:8	exempt 23:20	feel 49:7
17:12 21:25 30:4	27:16,23,25 28:3,18	exempts 16:2	feud 9:25
34:4	28:22,25	exercised 2:8	fight 10:1 51:22
drugs 1:10 3:23 5:3	employees 25:4	exhausted 54:22	file 51:15
5:17,22,24 6:10,22	enabled 36:4	exhibit 6:19	files 43:13
7:1,14 9:7,13 10:8	encourage 15:11	exist 29:10,12	filmed 27:12
11:11,17,22 13:12	16:11 25:1,2,15	exists 33:6,10	filtering 11:5,7
13:20 19:13,15	endorsement 52:3	expect 47:5	finally 6:16 27:3
20:25 21:3 22:9,11	ends 44:16	expects 36:9	32:13 53:22
27:4,7,8 28:12 29:5	engaged 37:24	experience 44:4	find 10:22 24:8 32:8
29:7,8,14 30:1,18	engine 4:23 7:17	explain 44:20	32:12,13 38:16,16
30:20,23 31:15,22	13:5,9 42:21	explaining 49:17	41:10 42:18 43:7
32:4,7	engineers 49:8,9	exploit 27:2	44:5,6 46:25 52:4
dubious 35:21	engines 3:8 4:20 6:7	exposing 26:22	54:11
duped 35:23,24	22:14 26:3 41:6	extended 49:15	fine 5:1 13:11,11
39:15,20,23 40:2,16	42:18	extent 43:19 47:4	firedoglake 37:17
44:20 45:25	enter 23:8	52:9	39:11
duty 24:12	entered 4:25 5:4	extremely 20:11	first 2:4 12:4 16:22
e	entire 3:9	f	18:11,14 32:9 49:25
e 57:1	equated 4:5	<b>f</b> 57:1	50:9,10 56:5,6,8
earlier 29:16	equipped 28:9	facebook 11:2	fit 38:12
earnest 23:7	escalating 7:8	facilitated 41:3	five 3:10 55:4
ease 27:7	escort 37:6 38:15	fact 6:25 8:14,22	fix 52:4
easily 42:18 45:22	escorts 31:1	12:4,9 17:13 34:9	<b>fixed</b> 45:22
easy 3:22 18:18	especially 26:15	47:18,21 51:14	fixing 52:5
20:12,18 21:4 28:12	27:2	failure 45:21 53:20	flag 36:9 53:11
46:25	essentially 21:12	fairly 51:17	flagged 36:16,19
edelman 2:19 46:5	29:9	fake 31:1 32:14,25	flagging 39:9
educate 4:18	establish 33:3	32:25,25 33:1,2,10	flags 36:12
effect 43:17	evasive 49:1	33:11 35:1	flimsy 39:15
effectively 30:17	evening 56:3	false 53:15	flip 19:7 22:17
52:6,7	everybody 20:3	familiar 51:2	floodgates 36:13
effort 37:24 48:19	evidence 3:17 16:14	far 11:8	floor 52:23 56:5,7,9
eggs 51:4	49:11	fashion 38:12	flow 7:12 9:18 13:3
eight 3:10	exactly 4:15,19	fast 2:22 3:14 33:24	focus 35:9
either 23:17 56:4	example 11:1 20:24	54:25	focused 26:17
electronics 4:2	25:4 26:23 36:21	feature 5:12,18 10:6	fold 54:10
elements 42:1	40:4 47:7	features 7:13	folks 46:9 48:1
email 14:2 15:8	examples 14:10 30:7	<b>february</b> 6:5 31:17	49:13
55:16	31:5,6 33:13 54:16	federal 5:2 10:18	follow 43:25 44:10
emails 8:19 15:6,6,9	excellent 7:4 50:13	14:11 16:10 17:25	44:10,15,16,17 53:20
15:14 24:3	exception 53:7,8	18:23 23:25	
	excuse 3:18		following 31:20
		<u>.</u>	

# [force - help]

force 23:6	girls 39:17,20,21,24	google 4:1 5:10,20	guarantee 40:6
foregoing 57:3	give 2:10,16 22:21	6:6,8,20 7:9,19,24	guess 18:14,20 39:9
forfeiture 14:15,15	40:25 53:13	9:14,21 10:11,11,14	50:10
forge 32:14	given 24:21 46:5,6	10:21 11:13 12:7,11	guessing 32:11
form 50:19	48:20 54:17	12:22 13:20 14:16	guest 3:15
former 55:25	gives 48:13 53:20	15:4 16:7,10 17:5	guest 3.13 guitar 44:23,24
forth 8:19 24:12	giving 7:16 48:21	17:24 18:2,7,8,15	guitar 44:25,24 gut 33:11
54:18	49:9	1 7 7	0
forums 53:1	glass 35:8	18:16,20,22 19:2,4	guy 9:11 22:6 51:6
forward 21:6 25:11	globe 19:14	19:9,9 20:4 21:15 21:17 22:22 23:24	h
found 27:10 29:23	1 0		half 47:2,3,8
29:25 30:2,25	<b>go</b> 2:8,8 6:15 7:11	24:21 25:2,6,16,20	halftime 41:14
1	8:24 9:12 10:7	26:2 29:15 30:5,9	ham 51:4
four 2:23,24 33:13 56:4	11:23 12:1,2 13:19	30:11,13,17 31:2,13	hamsher 37:17
	14:8 16:17,18,25	33:15,18 34:9,22,25	hand 15:4 38:25
frankly 40:16 53:1 fraud 50:17	17:7 18:16 19:1,13	35:6,8 36:13,25	handing 5:15
	19:15 20:13 21:5,6	37:1,7,12 38:22	handle 3:3
free 11:24,25 12:3	22:1,17,18,24 23:13	39:14 40:9,11 41:23	hangover 20:2
38:15 47:18,22 49:5	24:12 25:23 26:12	42:12,25 43:2,6,7	happen 10:25 28:8
friday 10:5	26:25 29:7,21 30:22	43:15,20 44:1,7	35:11 46:21
friends 38:13	31:24 32:23,24	46:12,12,13,20,22	happened 8:19 37:3
frisbee 48:25 49:3	34:11 38:4 39:7,18	48:21,22 49:11,14	39:9
front 29:23	42:17 44:2,6,20	49:18,19 50:8,15,21	happens 27:21
funding 43:20	56:4	50:25 51:1,8,12,15	28:13 34:17 42:22
funds 43:23,23	goes 11:24 15:12	51:17,18,22 52:6	51:20
further 10:23 29:13	16:22 31:12 34:16	53:16 54:12	happy 23:14
g	34:20 38:16 45:3	google's 4:21 10:15	hard 27:20 36:15
gains 24:13	54:6	31:14 36:10 43:18	49:12 54:8
galvin 2:17 26:7,10	going 2:3,10 3:21	49:4 51:23 53:19	harm 36:17
29:3	7:12 8:11 10:7,17	googles 51:24	harsh 52:12
game 56:3	10:18,19,20 12:4	gotten 24:13 37:14	harvard 2:20
general 1:6 2:5,6,7	13:19,21,23 16:3,5	government 5:2	hdml 41:21
2:11,11 4:5 26:1,10	16:11,13,14 18:1	14:11 17:25 42:23	head 3:17 40:19
26:11 29:16 30:7	20:6,23 22:1,21	government's 18:24	headed 53:2
31:18 34:21 43:2	23:16,19 24:10,14	grants 48:3	headline 37:7
44:1,22 48:12 52:7	24:2 <del>0</del> 2 <del>6</del> :5,25 27:9	granularity 40:10	health 18:2,7,15,16
52:15 54:2 55:25	27:14 28:9,19,22	great 13:3 16:12,18	18:22 19:3,5
general's 3:18	29:8 31:4,5,8 33:23	35:6,10	hear 36:10 46:8
genuine 48:12	34:13,19 35:2,16,18	green 32:20,22 48:2	heard 9:3 40:24
getting 6:13 13:7	38:4,5,13 40:12	51:4	43:17 54:14
53:23	41:16 42:15 44:7	<b>group</b> 9:22,24 10:2	heart 50:9
ghb 30:3 34:4,4,5	47:3,20 48:9 53:12	13:5,10 14:18 24:9	help 4:18 27:17
girl 38:10	55:12,24 56:2,3	26:18 28:11	35:10 44:21,21
girlfriends 38:18	<b>good</b> 2:9 33:18	groups 14:17 19:20	45:11 49:20 52:12
8	34:15 37:3 38:14	37:2 46:11	75.11 77.20 32.12
	52:3 54:8,17		
		I	

[hey - knew] Page 7

hey 6:13 7:7 22:24	imagine 19:11,14	innocent 5:23	issuers 13:6
hi 27:18 38:8	37:14 51:18	insertions 50:18	issues 3:4,5,7 4:8
high 48:8	immigration 32:17	install 48:8	7:8 23:5 52:10
highest 45:8	32:21 33:5	instance 31:2	54:25
hilton 51:21,22	immunity 47:5,7	instances 54:11	it'll 13:21
hold 8:17 9:24 24:21	important 16:8 29:3	institutional 25:11	itunes 12:19 23:13
24:23 55:20	36:1 50:22,23 52:12	intellectual 1:9 2:3	i
holders 5:8 6:7 13:2	52:17 53:8 55:23	2:12,23 3:5 5:7 6:4	jane 37:17
home 34:16 36:4	importantly 25:18	11:3 24:16 46:2	•
hood 2:5,9 3:3 17:17	47:4	intend 39:3,23 40:7	january 41:13 jehadi 40:1
17:20 19:4 21:2,10	imposed 50:17	intended 10:20	jim 2:5 55:8
21:14,17,25 22:5,20	inadvertent 41:1	interacted 27:11	job 1:25 16:12 34:10
26:10 29:16 30:7	inappropriate 25:3	interactive 52:18	54:18
31:18 43:2 44:1	include 26:21 31:23	interested 55:11	join 8:24 37:16
54:22 55:4,12,15,18	43:4,4	interesting 37:23	jokes 2:3
hood's 2:7 34:21	income 9:18	44:3,6 48:16	journal 49:14
48:12 54:2	incredibly 51:9	internal 53:23	june 1:8 8:17 21:20
hope 10:21 24:22	independent 24:9,9	international 2:2	21:21 57:11
25:10,13,15 35:9,10	38:9,15 53:19	<b>internet</b> 3:4 11:4	juries 3:12
hopefully 4:2 24:2	indexed 43:15	18:13 23:9 26:13,20	justice 16:6,13
55:6	indicate 14:19 15:22	28:10 36:4,4 45:9	k
horribles 36:22	indicated 15:14	54:2	
host 46:23	38:25	interpreting 53:2	kamala 24:24
hour 45:4	indictment 42:23	<b>inventory</b> 41:2 42:8	keep 7:16 13:7,7
hours 38:1 54:16	indirect 46:19	investigated 48:17	38:12
house 19:17	indirectly 46:21	investigation 27:5	keeping 20:14
huge 4:4	indiscernible 17:18	30:20 48:12	kelly 3:16
huh 3:2	21:8,24 22:19 55:3	investigative 8:12	kelly's 3:16
human 36:22 37:1	individual 4:8	15:8 23:23 24:19	ken 2:6
hundreds 29:25	individuals 26:18	investigators 4:1	key 15:18
43:12	indulgence 37:4	19:18 20:9 21:19	kick 10:17 kid 19:14 28:8
hurting 20:22	indulgences 40:22	investors 25:2,11	kids 19:14 28:8
hyatt 51:21,21	industries 12:25	invisible 54:12	killed 36:8
hydrocodone 28:14	industry 12:5,13	invitation 26:12	killer 27:22
29:6	20:14	invited 5:10	kilmartin 14:13
i	infect 14:3	inviting 25:21	kind 2:13 3:3,15
i.d. 27:1,3	infested 30:24 34:17	island 14:12,14 16:12	19:15,18,22 28:18
i.d.s 31:1	information 3:14		36:13,21 38:20
<b>i.p.</b> 2:4,6	8:21 24:2,3	isolating 34:25 issue 4:4,7 5:20 6:10	40:25 41:7 46:25
idea 38:20 41:20	infringe 49:16,20	6:21 7:8 8:12 10:23	50:24 55:9
identity 14:6	infringed 45:8 initial 2:13,21	15:7 22:16 24:19,20	kinds 47:10,24 53:8
illegal 20:7 25:3,9	initially 10:19	24:22 29:13 33:9	knew 15:15,15
41:11 45:24 50:5,7	initiates 28:14	issued 9:23 13:6	31:14 32:20
	miliates 20.14	23:24	51.11.52.20
1	i -	43.47	

[know - minds] Page 8

know 2:25 3:4 4:10	lead 15:1,19	lives 38:9	market 4:21,22 7:19
4:14,15,15,17,21,23	leading 56:1	loaned 49:19	7:21 37:12 51:25
5:8,12,21,23 6:10	learner 38:11	located 24:25	marsha 36:23
6:14,18,20 7:7,8,14	leave 54:19	long 40:20	martha 3:16
7:19,21 8:4,5,7,9	led 49:11	look 10:6 12:2 16:13	marty 23:18
9:3,10,17 10:1,2,23	left 52:14	24:9 26:14 29:24	maryland 3:17
11:2,6,9,11,13,15,25	legal 23:5 29:4	30:20 35:6 37:9	massachusetts 3:18
13:1,4,8,15,22 14:6	legislative 53:5	38:24 40:5 41:22	51:11
14:7,22 15:1,2,25	legitimate 12:19	48:22	material 46:25 50:4
16:3 17:10,11,21,22	23:12 25:13 29:9	looked 29:13,22	54:1
18:23 19:6,11,12	41:1,17,18 45:14,20	30:23	materials 6:19
20:15,19,21 22:13	lengthy 25:25	looking 4:4 14:21	15:11 29:23
22:24,25 23:4,10,12	letter 6:3,5,9,13,17	27:6 46:25 48:2	matter 47:18 50:5,6
23:17,20 24:6,7	6:19,20 7:5,7,9,11	looks 34:15 41:8,17	50:10
25:1,14,16,25 26:13	7:15,23,24 8:3,8,17	lot 4:9,11,12 13:3,21	mazda 40:5,6
31:14 33:1,2 36:12	9:24 25:20 36:25	14:7 16:5 25:14	mckenna 56:1
38:24 39:1 40:4	37:21 44:24	27:1 31:22 34:11	mcpherson 8:2
41:7 42:22 43:22	letters 2:14 8:20	36:10 40:17 42:1	mean 7:19 9:9 12:19
45:9 46:8 47:20	9:20 24:21,23	44:23 51:12,14	19:10 20:9,17 22:8
48:20 49:12 50:24	level 40:10 48:8,20	louie 2:11 .	22:10
54:6	levis 44:23	love 43:19 51:6	measure 36:15
known 44:11	liability 53:21	loves 38:12	media 25:18,19,22
knows 20:3,4 38:14	liable 52:25,25	lower 45:18	25:23,23 41:2
44:7	liberty 46:10	lucky 48:11	medium 23:15
1	license 32:25 33:7	m	<b>meeting</b> 1:7 5:6
1 2:18	likelihood 48:13	mafia 26:22	24:20 36:23 52:2
lab 3:17 16:14 17:13	likes 38:12	magic 48:22 53:23	mega 42:23,23,25
land 45:9	line 5:18 7:3 49:15	main 35:19	members 36:24
landing 37:13	51:3	major 41:6 43:20,20	38:23 39:10
laptops 8:24	link 43:15,15	43:22,24	mention 9:20 54:13
larry 25:21 36:25	links 37:1 43:14	making 2:13 9:16	mentioned 23:18
37:21	list 20:5 45:3,3 46:8	19:10 23:18 44:4,11	25:12 29:16 33:17
lastly 25:18	47:3,8,9,12 49:12	maloney 36:24	43:2
laughter 5:16 51:7	lists 18:13	37:19,20	meshed 54:24
launch 45:20	literally 9:9	managing 2:18	mess 48:7,9 50:1
laundry 46:8	litigation 8:7,17	manipulated 8:14	message 10:15
law 4:12 15:13	9:24 10:22 24:21,23	24:10	microsoft 7:20
23:24 33:5 53:2	49:22	manner 54:25	migration 29:17
lawful 47:1	little 2:25 9:10 12:5	map 38:17	milligram 27:24
lawyer 8:4 32:18	16:5 18:25 26:16	march 32:5	million 5:1 13:11
51:8	32:16 34:12 35:16	margins 9:16	25:6 29:15 43:3,11
lawyered 8:5	38:5 40:23 41:13	marijuana 30:3	mind 37:8 38:21
lawyers 8:6	47:20 53:25	32:2	48:23
14117015 010	live 3:21,25 18:16	J.1.1	minds 35:7,10
	20:18		

[minor - part] Page 9

minor 43:23,23	mystery 44:18	0	oops 39:7
minute 11:13 16:4	n .	o 8:25 57:1	open 22:24
24:5 30:7	n 57:1	objectionable 54:14	opens 36:13
minutes 55:5 56:11	'	obtains 45:9	operates 26:22
mississippi 2:3,5	naag 2:6 36:23 52:3 name 48:3	obviously 11:18	order 27:18,20
25:3,7		29:19 41:12	28:12,14
mistaken 43:21	name's 35:13 names 13:7	occurred 2:14 4:19	orders 27:21
mobile 4:21		8:20 14:13	original 46:23
model 35:20	narrator 27:20 28:6	occurring 48:14	originally 18:3
moderate 2:7	28:10 29:1 38:8 national 1:6 26:11	occurs 36:17	orphan 36:8
modern 38:10	nature 3:24 7:1 8:7	offer 45:14	ought 20:4
modification 48:1	near 47:13 50:9	offered 31:21	outcome 28:17
mole 43:17	need 21:5 22:20	offering 32:1	outlines 55:9
mom 51:15	33:3 48:1	offering 32:1	outside 13:14 56:5,6
monetize 30:12	needed 39:12,13	offers 52:17	56:8
monetized 40:3	negotiation 40:14	office 3:19 14:12	owned 9:14
money 5:9 7:12 9:17	neighborhood 34:13	38:10	owns 7:20
19:10 26:25 37:2	34:14,17	offshore 15:20,23	oxy 6:12 8:25
42:2,2 44:1,4,5,7,9	network 9:23 36:5	oftentimes 14:2	oxycodone 6:11
44:10,10,15,16,17	41:5,22 42:6,8,19	31:16 33:25	16:19,21 18:9
46:20,21 49:20	42:20	oh 6:23 17:8,10 22:5	oxycontin 13:25
monitoring 9:22	networks 41:3	okay 5:15 14:20	30:1 49:5
14:17,18	nevada 25:7	15:5 17:9 18:7 19:4	р
month 43:3,10,11	never 14:1 16:20	21:10 27:23 28:25	page 6:20 9:11
47:19 50:10	51:15	29:3 55:4,14,17,18	12:19 25:21 36:25
months 11:9,21 30:5	new 13:7 32:5 44:4	55:21	37:13,21
32:6 35:4	newtown 26:24	old 3:20,22 8:4	paid 5:1 13:11 37:1
morning 7:5 10:14	nicole 38:9,9,15	18:19 19:12 20:10	39:2
23:15,19 38:10	57:3	27:10,21 28:6,14	pain 27:22 31:13
mortgage 48:1	non 4:25 5:4 13:10	once 24:2	32:3
mothers 40:20	14:9 15:10 16:1,10	ones 4:24 5:9 12:4	painkiller 28:14
motion 20:14 44:12	18:1,3,6,24	20:21 51:16	painkillers 27:20
motors 44:23	nope 2:3	online 1:9 2:4 3:21	panel 2:10,16 7:4
mou 23:8 26:1	note 29:3	3:23 5:22,24 6:14	8:1,9 25:21 39:6
move 21:6	noticed 9:6	6:24 8:23,25 9:8,12	46:7 54:17,23,25
moved 29:15	notices 7:22 12:8,12	10:4,7 11:16,17	55:22
movie 20:8,13	12:23 22:25 23:2	16:7,21 17:12 19:13	panelists 16:18 21:6
movies 3:22 11:24	43:3,7,13	19:20,24 20:3,4,13	23:15 26:6
moving 13:10	<b>notify</b> 16:6 34:24	20:24 21:2,14 22:7	parade 36:21
mp3 12:11,13 23:1	november 5:6 8:11	22:14 25:15 26:20	parents 36:8
mp3crank 44:11	10:11	27:4,8,10 28:13,15	part 8:9,9 9:15
multiple 52:11	number 11:15 12:9	29:7 30:19 31:9,12	13:18 14:14 21:17
music 11:24 12:14	12:10 14:5 28:7	31:12,17 38:16 43:7	22:1 37:16 40:18
41:11	39:23 43:9	~	43:19 44:22 48:15
		orota Sarvicas	

## [participating - profited]

participating 46:6	28:25 29:9 31:13	<b>popups</b> 54:13	president 34:12,14
particular 39:10,12	phone 27:11	porn 11:21	34:16
53:16	phrase 7:2	pornography 11:5	press 25:22 31:19
particularly 24:24	physician 28:7	pose 11:16 26:14	34:21 37:6
32:13 40:20 49:13	pick 51:22	posed 26:20	preteens 29:17
parties 26:3	picture 20:14 44:12	<b>position</b> 10:21 23:5	30:21 34:8
partner 2:18	pieces 49:10,21	<b>possible</b> 2:22 3:14	pretty 25:4 39:4,16
partners 30:17 40:3	piracy 35:18,24	poster 54:18	43:8 44:24 49:23
parts 3:24	40:24 43:1	posting 35:22	51:13 54:8
party 9:21,24 14:17	pirate 41:2,4 42:5,7	potential 27:4	prevents 42:14
14:17 24:9	42:9,10,18 43:21,23	potentially 26:14	priced 41:5
passed 50:11 52:24	43:24 45:13	power 54:4	<b>prices</b> 45:18
passport 32:14,15	pirated 1:10 12:14	powered 10:5	primarily 13:12
32:22 35:2	pirates 45:17,18	powerful 27:22	24:25
passports 31:1	pirating 8:16	practice 3:12 51:11	priority 15:19 26:14
32:25	place 26:20 50:3,12	practices 23:10 36:6	privilege 46:6
paying 44:8 49:25	51:1,5,5	45:22 48:5	probably 12:12
payment 5:8 7:11	placed 46:16 50:22	praise 48:19	23:24 32:11 39:5,23
28:18 44:2	51:1,4,6	predecessors 4:6	43:1,17 53:8
people 5:25 6:15	places 34:7 46:13	predictability 48:8	problem 17:22 28:3
9:17 11:15,16 16:9	51:1,4,5 54:7	predicted 49:2	28:5 33:21 35:3
30:13 36:2 44:4	placing 54:12	prepared 54:16	36:9,12 39:16 48:16
people's 46:14	platform 9:15	prescription 1:10	48:16 51:23 52:4,5
pepsi 41:12 51:19	platforms 40:12	5:17,19,22,24,24	52:25 53:12,13
51:21	46:22	6:10,14,22,24 7:1	problems 47:22
percent 4:21,22 7:19	play 38:5	7:14 9:1,7,8,13,13	48:18 53:9,9
7:20 12:10 51:25	player 37:12	10:9 11:11,11,17,18	proceedings 49:19
percocet 27:18,22	please 27:19	11:22 13:11,12,20	57:4
28:6 29:5 30:1 31:9	pleasure 38:8	13:22 14:7 16:22,24	process 5:9 25:25
31:11,15	point 3:13 10:22	17:11,12 18:10	45:5
performance 50:7	12:12 16:17 23:14	19:13 20:24,25 21:3	processors 5:8 7:11
permanent 33:19	24:12 26:1 27:2	21:3,25 22:9,9,11	44:2
person 19:12 20:12	29:11 30:13 37:23	22:12 27:13,22 28:1	produce 22:23
36:7	39:5,8 53:10	28:2,4,16 30:2 35:2	producer 30:10,14
personally 47:11	pointed 6:6,9,12	49:6	33:7,9
peter 14:12	pointedly 5:11	prescriptions 5:3	producers 31:23
pharmaceutical 5:3	<b>points</b> 35:19	10:8	product 46:24,24
9:22 48:11	political 37:18	present 38:8	48:11
pharmacies 13:13	<b>pop</b> 8:16 35:15	presentation 33:12	professional 38:11
13:14 15:16,16,20	51:15	51:14	professor 2:20
27:8,11,12 28:13	<b>pops</b> 18:14	presented 37:10	professors 10:13
29:14 30:15 48:15	popular 29:16,20	48:6	<b>profit</b> 30:9 31:4
pharmacy 14:19	34:8	presenting 3:25	35:21
15:23 27:16,16,23	populating 13:7	preserve 8:18	profited 14:13 24:11
27:25 28:3,15,18,22	· - •		- · ·

[profiting - results] Page 11

<b>profiting</b> 30:6 33:15	psd 33:7	quick 38:11	refunds 40:17
profits 37:7 46:12	public 4:8 25:4 54:2	quickly 16:17,18	refuse 12:24
46:19	publications 19:19	quite 3:6 52:1	regularly 54:11
program 48:8	publicity 34:10	r	rejected 37:9
programs 48:6	publicized 33:17		relatively 32:5
promise 53:20	publish 15:5	r 20:15 57:1	relevant 31:16
promised 53:17	publisher 41:24	raise 20:13 23:21	relief 32:3
promising 47:18,19	52:19,20	24:1 26:19 27:7	remain 30:4
promoted 33:8	pull 5:13 25:16	raised 5:20 33:8	remarkable 49:4,21
promotes 34:4	pulled 17:25,25	52:11	50:18 51:23
promoting 25:9	punch 6:11,12 8:25	raising 26:22	remarks 52:23
29:25 30:2,18,25	11:20,23 16:21	ran 12:6 14:1 19:12	remedy 24:14,15
31:25 36:25 38:5	punched 18:8	19:14 31:3,15	remember 45:13
39:21 46:16	_	rape 30:4 34:4	49:13
	punching 20:1 purchase 5:24 7:14	reaction 36:10	remove 10:18 23:25
promotional 38:3	*	37:18,20	removed 18:17
	9:7,13 16:19 17:10 17:11 18:25 21:19	read 15:11 52:23,24	37:22 38:22
proof 48:20 50:13	1	reading 7:23	
propaganda 40:1	23:13 29:4,5,8,25	ready 2:8	replacement 36:2
proper 49:6	30:2 41:1	real 20:7 29:7 36:19	repopulate 34:23 35:3
properties 43:5	purchased 27:8	43:8	
property 1:9 2:2,12	purchaser's 15:2	realize 29:14 36:1	repopulated 43:14
2:23 3:5 5:7 6:4	purchases 19:24	36:21 41:25	report 22:23 43:6
11:3 24:16 46:2	21:20	realized 30:23	reported 17:5
prosecution 5:1,4	purchasing 6:22	really 23:25 34:6	reports 16:15 17:13
13:10 14:9 15:10,10	15:16	36:14 39:15 40:13	representative
16:1,10 18:1,3,6,24	push 10:23 12:18,18	44:18 45:4 48:21	37:19
23:21	put 15:21 20:20	52:20 54:23	representatives 52:2
prosecutions 10:18	30:10,11 44:14 45:2	reason 48:13,19	requests 54:2
16:2	48:23	reasons 29:4 40:8	required 49:6
prosecutor 3:8	putting 50:12	42:11	reseller 41:5
prostitute 32:8,12	q	received 8:3 12:11	residency 33:3
prostitution 30:25	quality 32:1 50:13	12:12 43:10	respond 6:21 10:12
39:22	quantities 36:7	receives 43:2	responded 7:10,23
protect 19:21	quarter 56:12	reception 56:2,10	13:3
protecting 26:4	queries 11:15,17	recognize 37:3	responding 8:1
35:17	20:20	48:24 49:5	response 6:16 7:6,11
protection 19:21	query 11:23 18:8	reconvene 56:13	14:2 43:18
29:10	question 6:3,18	record 52:24 57:4	rest 26:2 54:17
protections 7:4	13:25 33:19 51:20	recording 12:4,13	result 9:19 12:2,10
prove 54:1	55:2	records 53:25	32:9,10
provide 50:4	questions 5:11 6:6	recruit 37:24	results 12:21 13:15
provider 52:18	7:16 25:20,24 36:11	red 5:18 17:4,5	13:17,22 16:23
<b>providers</b> 11:4 23:9	55:5,7	redstate 37:7 39:11	18:11 23:11 32:12
42:8	33.3,1	iodstate 31.1 37.11	39:19 40:22
Veritext Corporate Services			

## [retirement - site]

		-	
retirement 25:4,8	safer 26:20	secrets 24:6	set 46:18
revenue 30:14	safety 4:8 26:13	section 7:4 10:14,16	settlement 29:15
reviewing 35:1	28:11	16:1 19:20 22:13	seuss 51:3
revisions 50:18	sale 14:13 19:10	23:22 47:12 50:20	seven 47:15
rewrite 53:4	sales 5:3	50:22	sex 37:7,16,25 39:19
rhode 14:12,14	salts 19:6,7,9	see 5:18 6:19 9:15	40:17
16:12	sampling 47:14	10:2,7 12:4 13:21	shape 34:8
riaa 12:8	49:10	13:23 15:7 17:1	share 51:25
rico 41:8	santa 10:13	21:17 23:17 24:6,7	shares 28:16
rid 34:24	saw 40:18 41:11,14	24:10 31:9,11 34:1	shield 10:20
right 2:1 3:13 13:8	50:16,16 51:13	34:19 35:2 38:18	shop 40:25
13:19 17:2,17,20	saying 10:15,16	39:14 50:25 53:23	shopping 38:12
20:1,2 21:2,2,15,18	11:6 24:18 34:5	53:24	shops 51:15
21:18 22:6 27:24	says 6:13 9:1 15:4	seeing 24:3 25:24	shores 14:20
31:13 32:2 34:1	17:16 35:1 42:4,7	29:8	short 27:9 29:4
38:4,20,24,25 39:15	44:1 49:25 50:25	seek 52:2,3	<b>shot</b> 31:9,11 48:22
41:19 42:24 43:16	scam 14:8	seen 19:25 34:23	49:9
45:17 50:5 51:3	scammers 26:24	42:18	<b>show</b> 3:21 5:13 8:14
55:1,12,19 56:5,6,8	scams 14:4 26:23	self 35:9	9:10 13:22 16:4,5
rights 5:7 6:7 13:1	schedule 56:11	sell 12:14 14:24,25	16:14 27:9 31:4,5
ring 47:18	scheme 15:23 17:24	15:1 42:7	33:23 39:24 40:7,8
riot 22:12	schmidt 43:25	selling 13:11,13	41:14 54:15
rise 53:20	school 2:20 3:20	14:23 15:14	showed 9:7 26:24
roam 8:5	scope 48:18	send 14:2 16:13	30:7 32:10 51:19
<b>rob</b> 56:1	screen 31:9,11 41:13	sense 41:23 42:25	53:9
<b>robot</b> 54:6,8,15	53:17	sent 6:1 7:6,9 8:17	showing 23:1
rogue 12:7,13 13:4	screening 14:21,22	10:12 12:8 25:20	shown 17:4 39:3
15:19 17:6 27:8,10	15:5,22	36:24 37:21 43:13	48:18
28:12 29:14 30:15	scrubbed 33:18	52:2	shows 5:19 27:9
31:12	search 3:8 4:20,22	separate 48:19	33:13 34:1
roll 16:16 27:14	4:23 6:7 7:17 12:2	separated 42:2	sic 41:24
roof 25:5	12:10,21 13:5,9,15	separately 46:18	<b>side</b> 11:6 21:19
room 37:3	13:17,22 16:23	47:10	32:17 37:18
routinely 35:23,23	22:14 23:11 26:2	september 37:5	sign 23:19 42:13
rudimentary 33:25	27:10 32:8,9,10,12	series 8:20 9:20	<b>signed</b> 14:11 18:3,6
rule 19:19	34:25 35:24 39:17	seriously 50:3	26:1
rumblings 50:16	39:19 41:6 42:17,20	seriousness 49:2	similar 15:8
run 3:14 31:3,16	43:3,16 46:24 51:20	serve 42:5,10	<b>simple</b> 34:25
33:24 34:2 40:20	searched 51:18	served 41:4,20	single 48:10
running 22:2 30:6	searches 8:15 12:6	44:15	sir 21:1
30:13 32:17 49:3,3	41:6	service 11:4 23:9	sit 19:17 20:19
S	searching 54:7	39:4,6 50:7 52:18	24:14
safeguards 29:10	second 8:23 17:5	services 46:13 48:1	site 6:15 12:13 15:1
	25:1 33:23 55:20	session 2:1	15:2 17:6 18:2,12
			18:16,18 23:1 29:16

[site - talk] Page 13

29:19,20,21 31:23	speaker 17:16,18	stations 19:11	summer 1:7
32:23 33:6,6 34:4	19:2 21:1,8,12,16	statistics 7:16	super 41:14
39:22 41:11,11,17	21:24 22:4,19 52:19	statute 52:24 53:3	support 53:3
41:22 42:9 45:2,15	55:3,8,14,17,20,21	53:11	supported 45:15
47:15	55:22 56:6,8	statutes 53:10	46:1
sites 8:16 12:2,7,7	speakers 55:9	steal 14:6 19:15	supporting 35:24
12:19,21,23 13:4,21	speaking 11:6 24:17	steal 14.0 19.13	46:2
13:23 15:3,19 16:23	48:4	steer 11:10	
17:4 23:12 25:24	special 3:15 41:10		suppose 10:1
26:14 31:25 34:8	1 ~	step 25:11,15 32:15 32:15	supposed 6:21 14:18
	specify 39:12		18:16 20:3,4 55:1
41:2,4 42:5,7,10,16	spectrum 37:18	stepping 7:3	suppresses 45:19
42:18 43:13,21,23	speed 2:14	steps 18:19 49:24	sure 3:7 29:21 39:5
43:24 45:14,14 46:1	spelled 15:9	stock 25:6,8	41:19 55:3
46:14,15,17 50:3	spice 21:9,11,20	stonewall 52:6,7	surfaced 37:6
sitting 11:5 20:18	split 30:14	stoning 36:14	survey 12:5
skip 22:2,3,20	spoke 10:14	stop 7:13 13:13,15	suspect 24:2 49:11
skull 12:11 23:1	sponsored 35:18	13:18 15:25 25:2	suspected 48:21
slide 5:13 8:23	40:23	36:25	switch 19:25
22:21	spot 35:4	stopped 29:4	sword 10:20 22:14
slides 3:25 9:10 16:4	spotify 45:15	store 37:9,11 39:14	22:15
16:5,15,16 19:8	spyware 54:12	straight 3:13 11:2	syndicate 46:15
21:5 35:14,14	squadding 54:13	strange 40:2	syndicates 46:13
slow 2:25	squirrel 48:25 49:3	strangely 44:13	system 11:7 14:25
small 48:2,15 49:21	stake 51:16	strategy 54:4	15:22 25:4
51:13,24	<b>stand</b> 31:8	street 49:14	systematic 33:20
smart 15:20,24 49:8	standard 50:19	striking 46:8 49:13	systemic 34:18
<b>sold</b> 22:10	standards 36:5	struck 47:10	systems 25:8
sole 49:15	45:21	stuff 17:22 18:19	t
solve 33:21	standpoint 30:16	33:14 39:15	t 57:1,1
<b>solved</b> 53:13	stands 40:18	style 36:5	table 5:9 23:7
somebody 5:22	start 24:2 27:5	subject 26:17 50:5,6	take 4:9 7:17,21
22:10 28:8	34:23 50:4 55:25	subpoenas 8:13	8:20 11:1 12:24
somebody's 19:15	started 5:10 9:25	successful 24:4	18:1,7,19,19 23:3
somewhat 9:25 47:4	25:22,24 27:6 30:19	49:23	25:16,25 34:13
songs 12:6	47:12	sue 50:8	36:17,20 40:11
soon 24:20	starting 56:12	suggest 5:21 10:24	41:21 43:3 45:18
sort 4:5,25 7:6 36:7	starts 34:17 42:3,3	24:15,18,22 49:23	49:1 55:2,4,7,24
36:14 40:11 48:2	44:16	suggested 17:2	56:11,13
49:7 51:3	state 14:12,14 16:2	<b>suggesting</b> 6:14 7:13	taken 2:24 3:6 9:8
sorts 49:16 54:13	23:24 24:1 25:7,7,8	8:11	10:21 11:19 12:14
sound 44:12,15 52:1	25:10	suggestion 5:25 7:3	39:13,13 43:10 54:3
sounds 41:8 51:3	statement 53:16	43:19	takes 40:19 45:4
source 43:20,22,23	states 13:14 14:11	suit 51:15 52:14	talk 16:23 26:17
53:24	16:3 23:20 52:11	summarize 45:21	27:3 30:7 35:16
			27:3 30:7 33:10
	Vitt C		

# [talk - unidentified]

40:12,23 42:15	thief 44:11,17	46:6 48:10 51:20	try 2:10,21 3:6,13
talked 46:9	thing 5:15 8:3 15:11	54:22	
talking 4:15,20 13:8	18:21 25:1 31:12	title 49:6	4:17 10:16,18 11:10 14:2,5,6 15:13
34:6 47:11	33:19 45:13 49:16	tobacco 4:7	1 ' '
tan 21:18	50:24		16:17 22:16 23:10
i e		today 2:10 3:15,20	23:25 24:1 26:3
target 32:10,11	things 3:23 4:14 8:7	10:7 26:17 27:4	31:16
tax 41:15	13:16 15:12 18:13	33:21 35:16 52:1	trying 5:23 20:19
teen 28:10 29:4	19:16 20:16,20 28:7	told 8:8 42:23	22:15 26:19 27:2,7
39:17,20,21,24	29:11,23 30:22,25	tom 2:16 22:1 26:7	32:24 33:5 45:11
teens 27:6 29:12,13	33:16 35:8,8 42:5	38:25	46:10 49:12
29:17 30:20,21 34:8	55:24	tom's 26:7	turbo 41:15
television 19:19	think 2:23 6:18 7:3	tones 47:18	turn 26:5
36:3 40:15	8:13 9:3 10:13,23	top 12:5,20 23:12	turned 29:7 30:19
televisions 36:4	10:24 12:9 15:7	47:2,8,12 50:13	tv 19:11 22:10,11
tell 17:24 41:20	16:6 19:21 20:19	total 43:9	36:5
44:21 45:2	21:5 22:10 23:5,16	totally 51:19	twins 54:10
telling 19:14 22:6,8	23:23 24:8 25:6,19	touch 11:14	two 2:2 9:6 11:8,9
tells 9:12 22:25	25:25 27:20 31:5	tough 34:10	13:16 16:22 29:6
33:11 43:25 44:24	35:6 39:4,8,16	tour 34:14	34:3 38:23 39:10
50:21	40:12,16 41:17,25	tourist 39:19	46:11 55:9
tempting 54:15	42:2,15 47:3 50:1	track 44:12,15	type 5:17 15:8 23:22
ten 31:18 33:9,18	51:8,25 53:2,22,25	trade 24:6 51:10	24:3 28:23
34:21 35:4 51:24,24	54:3,24 55:23	traffic 41:6 42:2,16	types 31:22 32:4
tens 43:12	thinking 49:24 52:9	42:19 46:1	typo 54:13
tenth 3:11	54:5	trafficking 36:22	u
term 17:3 40:24	third 9:21,24 14:17	37:1,2,8,25 40:18	<b>u.s.</b> 14:20 16:11
terms 4:12,13 12:16	14:17 24:8 29:19	tragedy 26:24	uh 3:2
35:1 39:4 50:19	thirds 9:6	tramadol 18:8 29:5	ultimately 36:3
52:12	thoroughly 48:17	30:1	unchained 20:10,10
terrorist 33:4	thought 18:23 26:25	transcript 57:3	22:18 23:1
test 21:23	47:16 52:21 53:12	transparency 22:22	underage 32:8,12
tested 29:6	thousand 31:19	43:6	underlying 53:13
thank 26:9,10,10	thousands 43:12,12	transparent 22:24	understand 3:7 4:11
31:10 35:11 45:1	54:11	treat 51:17	4:16,18 34:10 41:16
46:3 55:22	threatened 8:7	treated 52:19	44:18
thanks 46:5 53:13	three 10:10 18:18	tremendous 9:18	underused 53:8
54:20	34:3 35:18 41:18	trick 18:25	unfair 51:10
theaters 3:23 20:2,5	46:11 49:14	tried 2:15 32:3	unfortunately 32:7
20:12,15	threw 42:1	39:17,25	33:14,15 34:19
theft 2:12,23 3:5 5:7	tie 39:17,20,24	troubled 34:13	unholy 41:7
6:4 11:3 24:16 27:1	tied 39:21	troubling 32:14	unidentified 17:16
31:1 46:2	time 3:6 4:18 12:10	true 11:18 57:4	17:18 19:2 21:1,8
theirs 7:6	15:20 16:5 22:2	truly 24:9	·
they've 9:1	26:5 33:12 36:18,18	truth 44:19	21:12,16,24 22:4,19
	38:14,16 39:6 43:8		55:3,8,14,17,20,21

## [unidentified - youtube's]

		·	
55:22 56:6,8	42:23,25 49:7	26:21 27:1 34:23	y
united 13:14 14:11	videos 9:7,18 19:24	42:18 44:22 47:11	y 8:25
unlawful 46:16,17	20:9 29:25 30:2,4,6	54:14,18,22	yahoo 10:4 50:11,15
46:23 50:4 52:16	30:25 31:3,20 33:22	web 15:3 16:23	yawn 57:3
53:17,17,18 54:14	33:25 34:11,20,22	18:18 26:14 29:16	yeah 17:10 22:5,18
unreasonable 53:25	36:7,10,12 39:19	31:23,25 32:23 33:5	year 3:11,22 6:5
update 2:10	40:3,8,17 45:24	33:6 34:4 35:24	19:12 20:10 21:21
updates 43:8	view 9:17 36:2 39:8	39:22 47:15	26:21 27:10,21 28:6
upload 36:6	views 32:16	week 18:20 43:10	28:14 30:5 32:16
uploaded 36:18	violate 18:23	weeks 11:8	36:23 37:5
urls 43:9	violates 16:10 39:4	<b>welcome</b> 8:24 44:21	years 2:24,24 3:10
usa 32:15	violation 53:14	went 10:4 11:8 17:8	3:20 47:15 49:14
use 4:14 10:19,20	violations 7:22	27:10 31:17 51:18	yesterday 9:21,24
14:3 17:3 22:15	violent 20:11	54:25	12:5
23:10 28:19,22	virginia 2:6	whack 43:16	young 19:12 20:12
34:12 40:25 46:22	virtual 36:13	widespread 47:17	29:20
user 36:9 50:25	visa 5:8 28:24,25	win 40:20	younger 38:1
users 36:6 41:6	visited 29:19	windows 54:12	youtube 9:9,12,14
42:17 49:20	volume 30:21	winzip 47:20,21,21	21:25 22:1,8 27:6
utah 33:11	vulnerable 47:25	wives 40:20	29:17,18 30:4,10,11
utility 33:1,2,2,11	· <b>W</b>	wizard 24:7	30:22,24 31:3,20
33:11	wait 11:13 22:5 24:5	wondering 55:8	33:13,15,18,22 34:7
utoopi 37:6,8,24	wall 49:13	word 6:12,24,25	34:11,19,22 35:3,10
38:3	want 10:1,2 16:3	15:1 41:21	35:17,20,23 36:2,5
V	20:6 25:8 29:24	words 10:9 14:18,22	36:6,9,11,16,23
valuable 42:17	30:11 31:24 32:11	14:24,25 15:14,17	38:2,4 39:12,18
variety 47:10	40:11 44:21 45:6,10	15:18,21 50:18	40:2,15 43:4 45:23
various 26:23	49:23 51:22 55:2	work 3:6,21 4:2,9 8:10 10:2 12:24	youtube's 39:4
vast 36:6	wanted 29:11 55:12	25:10 26:21 27:1,5	
vein 46:19 47:22	wants 30:9	worked 2:7	
verizon 41:15	watch 19:24 20:1,6	working 28:10 54:8	
version 20:11	20:13,17 27:21	works 38:10 51:2	
versus 47:2 49:3	28:13	54:8	
viagra 17:10,12,12	watchdog 28:11	worried 6:25	
17:13,14,15,16,19	watched 20:11	worth 25:6 49:24	
victims 27:1	watching 20:10	54:5	
video 9:12,15 22:7	way 3:12 9:14 24:4	write 28:4	
27:9,14,15 29:2	31:10 34:24 41:25	wrong 37:15 50:12	
30:10,10,11,12,14	52:4,5 54:23	wrote 6:3,5,9 7:5,24	
31:14,17,21,23	ways 10:24 22:16	14:10	
32:19,23 33:7,9,10	31:25 32:20 33:13	The state of the s	
33:23 35:1 36:16,18	51:16	X	
38:3,7,19,22 39:3	we've 8:11 9:25	<b>x</b> 8:25	
39:12,21,24 40:7	10:11 19:6 25:5		